arXiv:1407.6382v1 [math-ph] 23 Jul 2014

Note on Reversion, Rotation and Exponentiation in Dimensions Five and Six

E. Herzig
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson, TX 75083

V. Ramakrishna
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson, TX 75083
Corresponding Author

M.Dabkowski
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson, TX 75080

Abstract

The explicit matrix realizations of the reversion anti-automorphism and the spin group depend on the set of matrices chosen to represent a basis of 1-vectors for a given Clifford algebra. On the other hand, there are iterative procedures to obtain bases of 1-vectors for higher dimensional Clifford algebras, starting from those for lower dimensional ones. For a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(0,5)$, obtained by applying such procedures to a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(3,0)$ consisting of the Pauli matrices, we find that the matrix form of reversion involves neither J_4, nor $\widetilde{J}_4$, where $J_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $\widetilde{J}_{2n} = J_2 \oplus J_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus J_2$. However, by making use of the relation between 4×4 real matrices and the quaternion tensor product $(\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H})$, the matrix form of reversion for this basis of 1-vectors is identified. The corresponding version of the Lie algebra of the spin group, $spin(5)$, has useful matrix properties which are explored. Next, the form of reversion for a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(0,6)$ obtained iteratively from $\mathrm{C}l(0,0)$ is obtained. This is then applied to the task of computing exponentials of 5×5 and 6×6 real skew-symmetric matrices in closed form, by reducing this to the simpler task of computing exponentials of certain 4×4 matrices. For the latter purpose closed form expressions for the minimal polynomials of these 4×4 matrices are obtained, without having to compute their eigenstructure. Finally a novel representation of $Sp(4)$ is provided which may be of independent interest. Among the byproducts of this work are natural interpretations for some members of an orthogonal basis for $M(4,\mathbb{R})$ provided by the isomorphism with $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$, and a first principles approach to the spin groups in dimensions five and six.

1 Introduction

The anti-automorphism reversion is central to the theory of Clifford algebras. While it is unambiguously defined at the level of abstract Clifford algebras, its explicit form as an involution of the matrix algebra, to which the Clifford Algebra in question is isomorphic to, very much depends on the specific basis of matrices for 1-vectors chosen to make concrete this isomorphism. Since there are canonical iterations supplying bases of 1-vectors for higher dimensional Clifford algebras, starting from well known bases of 1-vectors for lower dimensional ones (such as the Pauli matrices for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 0)$), it is natural to endow these bases with a privileged status. Hence finding the form of reversion and Clifford conjugation with respect to these bases is interesting. For Clifford conjugation it is known [8] that there is (usually more than one) a choice of basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$, with respect to which Clifford conjugation's matrix

form is given by Hermitian conjugation. However, no such easily stated result is available for the *matrix form of reversion* on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$.

Explicit expressions for these two anti-automorphisms are important for a variety of applications. For instance, if we can identify what reversion and Clifford conjugation look like for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ as matrix involutions for a given basis of 1-vectors, then it becomes easy to write what reversion and Clifford conjugation look like with respect to the canonical basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p+1,\ q+1)$ obtained from the said basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$. A second application, motivating this work, is that explicit matrix forms of these 2 involutions are very much needed for the success of a useful technique for computing the exponentials of elements of $\mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$ (the Lie algebra of $n \times n$ real, antisymmetric matrices). We note that this Lie algebra and its Lie group arise in several applications such as robotics, electrical and energy networks, photonic lattice filters, communication satellites etc., [3, 4, 5, 20]

Computing the exponential of a matrix is arguably one of the central tasks of applied mathematics. In general, this is quite a thankless job, [15]. However, for matrices with additional structure certain simplifications may be available. In particular, the theory of Clifford Algebras and spin groups enables the reduction of finding e^X, with $X \in \mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$, to the computation of e^Y, where Y is the associated element in the Lie algebra of the corresponding spin group. Frequently this means dealing with a matrix of smaller size. In particular, the minimal polynomial of Y is typically of lower degree than that of X. This connection, perhaps folklore, seems to have escaped the notice of a variety of practitoners. Let us first illustrate this via the famous Euler-Rodrigues formula for $\mathfrak{so}\,(3,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Example 1.1 Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & b \\ c & 0 & -a \\ -b & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be a 3×3 antisymmetric real matrix.

As is well known, X has a cubic minimal polynomial, viz., $X^3 + \lambda^2 X = 0$, with $\lambda^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$. Hence $e^X = I + \frac{\sin\lambda}{\lambda}X + \frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2}X^2$. This is the famous *Euler-Rodrigues formula*. We will now show that this formula coincides with the following procedure:

Step 1 Identify $\mathfrak{su}\,(2)$ with P, the purely imaginary quaternions, and $SU\,(2)$ with the unit quaternions

Step 2 Let $\psi : P \to \mathfrak{so}\,(3,\ \mathbb{R})$ be the map obtained by linearizing the covering map $\Phi : SU\,(2) \to SO\,(3,\ \mathbb{R})$, where Φ is the matrix of the map, which sends sends $v \in P$ to gvg^{-1}, with g a unit quaternion.

Step 3 Find $\psi^{-1}(X)$. This is $\frac{1}{2}(ai + bj + ck)$.

Step 4 Compute the exponential of $\psi^{-1}(X)$. This is the unit quaternion $p = cos(\frac{\lambda}{2})1 + \frac{\sin(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\lambda}(ai+bj+ck)$, with $\lambda = \sqrt{a^2+b^2+c^2}$.

Step 5 Compute the matrix of the map $x \in P \to px\bar{p} \in P$, with respect to the basis $\{i,\ j,\ k\}$.

The matrix computed in *Step 5* coincides with the matrix provided by the Euler-Rodrigues formula, $e^X = I + \frac{\sin\lambda}{\lambda}X + \frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2}X^2$. For instance, the first column of the matrix is *Step 5* is found by computing $pi\bar{p}$ and rewriting this element of P as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Computing $pi\bar{p}$ we find, it is

$$pi\bar{p} = \cos^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})i + \frac{\cos(\frac{\lambda}{2})\sin(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\lambda})(2cj - 2bk) + \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\lambda^2}(a^2i - b^2i - c^2i + 2ack + 2abj)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\cos^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})i + \frac{\sin(\lambda)}{\lambda}(cj - bk) + \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\lambda^2}\left[(a^2+b^2+c^2)i - 2(b^2+c^2)i + 2ack + 2abj\right]$$

This simplifies to

$$i + \frac{\sin\lambda}{\lambda}(cj - bk) + \frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2}[-(b^2+c^2)i + ack + abj]$$

Rewritten as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$ it is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+\frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2}[-(b^2+c^2)] \\ c\frac{\sin\lambda}{\lambda}+ab\frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2} \\ -b\frac{\sin\lambda}{\lambda}+ac\frac{1-\cos\lambda}{\lambda^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is precisely the first column of *Euler-Rodrigues formula* for e^X.

Strictly speaking, the above calculation is not what stems from considering $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 3)$, since the latter is the double ring of the quaternions. However, it is an easy exercise to show that doing all calculations in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 3)$ amounts to the same calculation outlined in the five step procedure above.

Though not of immense computational superiority in this simple instance, it worth noting that the exponentiation of a 3×3 matrix has been reduced to the exponentiation of a 2×2 matrix in $\mathfrak{su}\,(2)$, the Lie algebra of 2×2 traceless, anti-Hermitian matrices (equivalently of a purely imaginary quaternion). Such matrices have quadratic minimal polynomials, unlike X which has a cubic minimal polynomial.

This methodology extends in general. We will restrict ourselves to $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$ for simplicity. The method proceeds as follows:

Algorithm 1.2 Step 1 Identify a collection of matrices which serve as a basis of 1 vectors for the Clifford Algebra $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$.

Step 2 Identify the explicit form of Clifford conjugation (ϕ^{cc}) and the grade (or so-called main) automorphism on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$, with respect to this collection of matrices. Equivalently identify the explicit form of Clifford conjugation and reversion (ϕ^{rev}) with respect to this collection of matrices.

Step 3 Steps 1 and 2 help in identifying both the spin group $Spin\,(n)$ and its Lie algebra $spin\,(n)$, as sets of matrices, within the same matrix algebra, that the matrices in Step 1 live in. Hence, one finds an matrix form for the double covering $\Phi_n : \mathrm{S}pin\,(n) \to SO\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$. This is given typically as the matrix, with respect to the basis of 1-vectors in Step 1, of the linear map $H \to ZH\phi^{cc}(Z)$, with H a matrix in the collection of 1-vectors in *Step 1* and $Z \in \mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$. This enables one to express $\Phi_n(Z)$ as a matrix in $SO\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Step 4 Linearize Φ_n to obtain Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi_n : spin\,(n) \to \mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$. This reads as $W \to YW - WY$, with W once again a 1-vector and $Y \in spin\,(n)$. Once again this leads to a matrix in $\mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$ which is $\Psi_n(Y)$.

Step 5 Given $X \in \mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$ find $\Psi_n^{-1}(X) = Y \in spin\,(n)$.

Step 6 Compute the matrix e^Y and use Step 3 to find the matrix $\Phi_n(e^Y)$. This matrix is e^X.

The key steps for the success of this algorithm are really *Steps 1, 2* and *3*.

In the literature, the identification of $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$, is usually achieved by using the isomorphism between $\mathrm{C}l(0, n-1)$ and the even vectors in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$, [12, 16]. In other words, $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$, is identified as a subset of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n-1)$. However, this does not enable the finding of the matrix form of reversion. Similarly, to use Algorithm 1.2 above, one needs the 1-vectors, the 2-vectors (since they intervene in the Lie algebra of the spin group) and $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$ to be identified as explicit subcollections of matrices within the same matrix algebra that $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$ is isomorphic to. Therefore, once a basis of 1-vectors as a specific collection of matrices has been found, one needs to find what forms Clifford conjugation and reversion take with respect to this collection for the successful realization of the applications above. Even if a realization of 1-vectors of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$, as a subset of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n-1)$, is specified, one still needs a prescription of how both $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$ and $spin\,(n)$ act on this set of 1-vectors. Furthermore, the latter action should be the linearization of the former action for applicability to the problem of finding exponentials of matrices in $\mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$. See Remark 1.3 below for more on this issue.

In this note, therefore, we prefer to do all calculations within $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$. One virtue of this is that it is a first principles approach to the problem of identifying the spin group and thus has some *didactical* advantages also.

As mentioned above, there are iterative constructions enabling one to find a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$, starting from certain obvious bases of 1-vectors for lower-dimensional Clifford algebras (the iterative constructions, pertinent to this work, are summarized in Sec 2.3). Hence, it seems natural to use these for Step 1 of the last algorithm. Thus, it is significant to be able to find the matrix forms for reversion with respect to such a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ n)$.

In particular, we found to our initial chagrin that for a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$, obtained from the Pauli basis $\{\sigma_j \mid j = 1,\ 2,\ 3\}$ for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 0)$, reversion is <u>not</u> given by $X \to M^{-1}X^TM$ for $M = J_4$ or $M = \widetilde{J}_4$, as one might expect from the circumstance that $\mathrm{S}pin\,(5)$ is isomorphic to $Sp\,(4)$ (the group of 4×4 matrices which are both unitary and symplectic).

To circumvent this difficulty, we use the isomorphism between $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ to find a skew-symmetric and orthogonal M, for which reversion is indeed described by $X \to M^{-1}X^TM$. Furthermore, this isomorphism also enables us to find a conjugation between this M and J_4, and thus produce a basis of 1-vectors of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5) = M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$, with respect to which $\mathrm{S}pin\,(5)$ is indeed the standard representation of $Sp\,(4)$. It is emphasized, however, that it is not obvious how to obtain this latter basis from first principles, and hence the detour through $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ is really useful, apart from being of independent interest. See, Remark (4.7), for instance, for another illustration of this utility.

It turns out that one obstacle to reversion not involving either J_4 nor $\widetilde{J}_4$ is the presence of either of these matrices themselves in the basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$. Not having a tool such as the $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ isomorphism in higher dimensions, we work very carefully to arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ which contains neither J_8 nor $\widetilde{J}_8$. For this we start with the sole possible basis for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 0)$ and apply a judicious combination of the iterative procedures in Sec 2.3, to find a desirable basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$. This then very naturally leads to $SU\,(4)$ being the covering group in dimension 6.

Remark 1.3 In [16] the derivation of $SU\,(4)$ as the spin group in dimension 6, is carried out in Pgs 80, 151 and $264 - 265$. As mentioned before, the Clifford algebra that [16] works with for this purpose is actually $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$. In particular, on Pgs $264 - 265$, an embedding of $\mathbb{R}^6$, - the 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5) = M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$ is used. Specifically, $\mathbb{R}^6$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^3$ and then $(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is identified with the following matrix in $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$

$$X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_2 & 0 & z_0 & \bar{z}_1 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_2 & z_1 & -\bar{z}_0 \\ -\bar{z}_0 & -\bar{z}_1 & z_2 & 0 \\ -z_1 & z_0 & 0 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

But then the action of $\mathrm{s}pin(6) = \mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ cannot be the usual one, viz., $A \in \mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ sending the one vector $X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ to the matrix $AX\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) - X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)\,A$, since the latter is not of the form $X\,(w_0,\ w_1,\ w_2)$ for some triple $(w_0,\ w_1,\ w_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Indeed, the $(1,\ 2)$ entry of $AX(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) - X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)\,A$ is non-zero typically. Alternatively, note that the trace of the matrix $AX\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) - X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)\,A$ is zero for all $A \in \mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ and for all $(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. On the other hand the trace of $X\,(w_0,\ w_1,\ w_2)$ is $4\mathrm{R}e\,(w_2)$.

It is emphasized that [16] does not make the claim in the above paragraph, and the matrix $X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ is used therein for an entirely different reason, viz., to avail of the fact that every element of $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n)$ can be factorized as a product of an element in S^{n-1} (the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$) and an element in $\mathrm{S}pin\,(n-1)$. The association of the matrix $X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ to the triple $(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ is indeed elegant and the associated factorization is quite useful. However, for the purposes of this note it is necessary to proceed from first principles and work directly with $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6) = M\,(8,\ \mathbb{R})$. It seems that this is also didactically simpler for these purposes.

There is also an unexpected benefit from working in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$. Specifically, by starting with the obvious basis for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 1)$ and mimicking for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$, the iterative constructions for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, alluded to above, we arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ which sheds some light on the matrix $X\,(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ - see Remark (7.3). Further, by slightly modifying this construction we find a natural interpretation of yet another member of the $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ basis for $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Thus, one byproduct of this note is useful interpretations for at least 3 elements of a basis of orthogonal matrices for $M(4,\ \mathbb{R})$, yielded by its isomorphism to $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ are provided. More generally, our work can be seen as showing the utility of Clifford Algebras for questions in algorithmic/computational linear algebra. Thus this note is in the spirit of [1, 7, 6, 13, 14, 17, 18, 2, 19].

The other component of this work is an explicit characterization of minimal polynomials of matrices in the Lie algebra of the spin groups of dimensions 5 and 6. These expressions are constructive and do not require any knowledge of the eigenvalues/eigenvectors of these matrices. Once one has access to these minimal polynomials computing the exponentials of matrices in these Lie algebras is facile. One can either use recursions for the coefficients of the exponential or use simple Lagrange interpolation (since the matrices in question are all evidently diagonalizable and thus their minimal polynomials have distinct roots). As mentioned before it is often the case that the minimal polynomials of matrices in the Lie algebra of the spin group is far lower than that of the corresponding element in $\mathfrak{so}(n,\ \mathbb{R})$. Example 5.5 provides a striking illutsration of this circumstance. Of course, a natural question that could be asked is whether one could not directly compute exponentials of elements of $spin(n)$, without passing to a matrix algebra representation of them, e.g, without using the fact that $spin(6) = \mathfrak{su}(4)$, for instance. Computing exponentials of matrices by computing exponentials directly within Clifford algebras has indeed been proposed in [1]. However, it has been our experience that it is only by passing to the matrix representation that we are able to avail of certain simplifications. For example, the fact that only certain types of polynomials can arise as the minimal polynomials of matrices in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ is not evident from the fact that it is isomorphic to $spin(6)$. A full analysis of the advantages/disadvanatges of passing to the matrix representation is beyond the scope of this paper, though it certainly is an interesting question to investigate.

The balance of this note is organized as follows. In the next section basic notation and preliminary facts are presented. Section 3 derives the explicit form of the reversion map for $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 5)$ with respect to a basis of 1 vectors obtained iteratively from the Pauli matrices. As a byproduct the matrix forms of Clifford conjugation and reversion on $\mathrm{C}l(1,6)$ are derived. An algorithm is then presented, which uses the derived form of reversion on $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 5)$ to exponentiate in closed form a matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$ by reducing this to the exponentiation of a 4×4 matrix in a Lie algebra, denoted $\widehat{sp}(4)$. Section 4 derives explicit forms for minimal polynomials of matrices in $\widehat{sp}(4)$, thereby providing a complete solution to the problem of exponentiation of matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$. The block structure of elements of $\widehat{sp}(4)$ is shown to be amenable for calculation of the quantities intervening in the expressions for these minimal polynomials. Section 5 obtains the form of reversion on $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 6)$ with respect to a basis of 1-vectors obtained iteratively from the sole possible basis for $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 0)$. This is then applied to provide an algorithm for exponentiating a matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ by reducing it to the corresponding problem in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$. The next section then provides a complete list of closed form expressions for minimal polynomials of matrices in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$. The succeeding section revisits reversion on $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 5)$ and sheds light on the matrix $X(z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2)$ in Remark 1.3 and also finds an interpretation for yet another element of the $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ basis. The final section offers conclusions. An appendix is devoted to a representation of matrices in $Sp(4)$ which may be of independent interest.

2 Notation and Preliminary Observations

2.1 Notation

We use the following notation throughout

N1 $\mathbb{H}$ is the set of quaternions, while $\mathbb{P}$ is the set of purely imaginary quaternions. Let K be an associative algebra. Then $M(n,K)$ is just the set of $n\times n$ matrices with entries in K. For $K=\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}$ we define X^* as the matrix obtained by performing entrywise complex (resp. quaternionic) conjugation first, and then transposition. For $K=\mathbb{C}$, $\bar{X}$ is the matrix obtained by performing entrywise complex conjugation.

N2 $J_{2n}=\begin{pmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$. Associated to J_{2n} are $i)$ $Sp(2n)=\{X\in M(2n,\ \mathbb{C})\mid X^*X=I_n, J_{2n}^{-1}X^TJ_{2n}=$

$J_{2n}\}$. $Sp\,(2n)$ is a Lie group; and *ii)* $sp\,(2n) = \{X \in M\,(2n,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* = -X,\ X^T J_{2n} = -J_{2n}X\}$. $sp\,(2n)$ is the Lie algebra of $Sp\,(2n)$. Note many authors write $Sp\,(n)$ instead of our $Sp\,(2n)$.

N3 $\widetilde{J}_{2n} = J_2 \oplus J_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus J_2$. Thus $\widetilde{J}_{2n}$ is the n-fold direct sum of J_2. $\widetilde{J}_{2n}$, is of course, explicitly permutation similar to J_{2n}, but it is important for our purposes to maintain the distinction. Accordingly $\widetilde{Sp}\,(2n) = \{X \in M\,(2n,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^*X = I_n,\ \widetilde{J}_{2n}^{-1} X^T \widetilde{J}_{2n} = \widetilde{J}_{2n}\}$. $\widetilde{Sp}\,(2n)$ is a Lie group; and *ii)* $\widetilde{sp}\,(2n) = \{X \in M\,(2n,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* = -X,\ X^T \widetilde{J}_{2n} = -\widetilde{J}_{2n}X\}$. $\widetilde{sp}\,(2n)$ is the Lie algebra of $\widetilde{Sp}\,(2n)$. Other variants of J_4 are of importance to this paper, and they will be introduced later at appropriate points (see Remark 2.14 below).

N4 The Pauli Matrices are

$$\sigma_x = \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};\ \sigma_y = \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix};\ \sigma_z = \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

N5 $SO(n,\ \mathbb{R})$ stands for the $n \times n$ real orthogonal matrices with determinant one. $\mathfrak{so}\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$ is its Lie algebra - the set of $n \times n$ real antisymmetric matrices.

N6 $SU\,(n)$ is the Lie group of unitary matrices with unit determinant, and $\mathfrak{su}\,(n)$ is its Lie algebra - the set of anti-Hermitian matrices with zero trace.

N7 The matrix K_{2l} is

$$K_{2l} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_l & I_l \\ I_l & 0_l \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix will be useful for succinctly expressing Clifford conjugation in certain dimensions.

N8 $A \otimes B$ stands for the Kronecker product of A and B. $\|X\|_F$, for a matrix X, is $\sqrt{\mathrm{Tr}(X^*X)} = \sqrt{\sum \sum_{i,j} |x_{ij}|^2}$.

2.2 Reversion and Clifford Conjugation

We will not give formal definitions of notions from Clifford algebras. [12, 16] are excellent texts wherein these definitions are to be found. We will content ourselves with the following:

Definition 2.1 I) *The reversion anti-automorphism on a Clifford algebra, ϕ^{rev}, is the linear map defined by requiring that i) $\phi^{rev}(ab) = \phi^{rev}(b)\phi^{rev}(a)$; ii) $\phi^{rev}(v) = v$, for all 1-vectors v; and iii) $\phi^{rev}(1) = 1$. For brevity we will write X^{rev} instead of $\phi^{rev}(X)$.*

II) *The Clifford conjugation anti-automorphism on a Clifford algebra, ϕ^{cc}, is the linear map defined by a requiring that i) $\phi^{cc}(ab) = \phi^{cc}(b)\phi^{cc}(a)$; ii) $\phi^{cc}(v) = -v$, for all 1-vectors v; and iii) $\phi^{cc}(1) = 1$. For brevity $\phi^{cc}(X)$ will be written in the form X^{cc}.*

III) *The grade automorphism on a Clifford algebra, ϕ^{gr} is $\phi^{rev} \circ \phi^{cc}$. As is well known it is also true that $\phi^{gr} = \phi^{cc} \circ \phi^{rev}$. Once again we write X^{gr} for $\phi^{gr}(X)$.*

IV) *Spin (n) is the collection of elements x in $Cl\,(0,\ n)$ satisfying the following requirements: i) $x^{gr} = x$, i.e., x is even; ii) $xx^{cc} = 1$; and iii) For all 1-vectors v in $Cl\,(0,\ n)$, xvx^{cc} is also a 1-vector. The last condition, in the presence of the first two conditions, is known to be superfluous for $n \leq 5$, [12, 16].*

2.3 Iterative Constructions in Clifford Algebras

Here will outline 3 iterative constructions of 1-vectors for certain Clifford Algebras, given a choice of one vectors for another Clifford Algebra, [12, 16]:

IC1 $\mathrm{C}l\,(p+1,\ q+1)$ as $M\,(2,\ \mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q))$, where $M(2,\mathfrak{A})$ stands for the set of 2×2 matrices with entries in an associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$: Suppose $\{e_1,\ldots,e_p,f_1,\ldots,f_q\}$ is a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$. So, in particular, $e_k^2=+1$, $k=1,\ldots,p$ and $f_l^2=-1$, $l=1,\ldots,q$. Then a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p+1,\ q+1)$ is given by the following collection of elements in $M(2,\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q))$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} e_k & 0\\ 0 & -e_k\end{array}\right),\ k=1,\ldots,p;\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right);\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} f_l & 0\\ 0 & -f_l\end{array}\right),\ l=1,\ldots,q;\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$$

The 1 and the 0 in the matrices above are the identity and zero elements of $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ respectively.

IC2 From $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ to $\mathrm{C}l\,(p-4,\ q+4)$, for $p\geq 4$: Suppose $\{e_1,\ldots,e_p,f_1,\ldots,f_q\}$ is a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$. Let us label this basis as $\{g_i\mid i=1,\ldots,n\}$. Thus, $g_i=e_i$, $i=1,\ldots,p$ and $g_{p+j}=f_j$, $j=1,\ldots,q$. Then, to obtain a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p-4,\ q+4)$, we first compute

$$g=e_1e_2e_3e_4$$

Then a basis $\{h_i\mid i=1,\ldots,p+q\}$ of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p-4,\ q+4)$ is obtained by setting

$$h_i=g_ig, i=1,\ldots,4; h_i=g_i, i>4$$

IC3 From $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ to $\mathrm{C}l\,(q+1,\ p-1)$ if $p\geq 1$. Suppose $\{e_1,\ldots,e_p,f_1,\ldots,f_q\}$ is a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$. Then a basis $\{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_{q+1},\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{p-1}\}$ is obtained by defining

$$\epsilon_1=e_1,\epsilon_{k+1}=f_ke_1,\ k=1,\ldots,q$$

and

$$\mu_k=e_{k+1}e_1,\ k=1,\ldots,p-1$$

In this last basis, the ϵ's square to $+1$, while the μ's square to -1.

Remark 2.2 In the last construction **IC3** above, the special role played by e_1 could have been played by any one of the e_k, $k=1,\ldots,p$. This would yield different sets of bases of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(q+1,\ p-1)$, starting from a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$. We will make use of this observation in Sec 8.

Remark 2.3 If Clifford conjugation and reversion have been identified on $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ with respect to some basis of 1-vectors, then there are explicit expressions for Clifford conjugation and reversion on $\mathrm{C}l\,(q+1,\ p-1)$ with respect to the basis of 1-vectors described in iterative construction **IC1** above. Specifically if $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B\\ C & D\end{array}\right)$, then we have

$$X^{CC}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} D^{rev} & -B^{rev}\\ -C^{rev} & A^{rev}\end{array}\right)$$

while reversion is

$$X^{rev}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} D^{cc} & B^{cc}\\ C^{cc} & A^{cc}\end{array}\right)$$

This is immediate from the definitions of reversion and Clifford conjugation.

It is useful to observe that if elements of $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ have been identified with $l\times l$ matrices, then

$$X^{cc}=J_{2l}^{-1}[\left(\begin{array}{cc} A^{rev} & B^{rev}\\ C^{rev} & D^{rev}\end{array}\right)]^{BT}J_{2l}$$

and that

$$X^{rev} = K_{2l}^{-1}[\begin{pmatrix} A^{cc} & B^{cc} \\ C^{cc} & D^{cc} \end{pmatrix}]^{BT} K_{2l}$$

where K_{2l} is the matrix at the end of Section 2.1, and if $X = \begin{pmatrix} Y & Z \\ U & V \end{pmatrix}$ is a 2×2 block matrix, then $X^{BT} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & U \\ Z & V \end{pmatrix}$

2.4 $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrices:

Some of the material here is to be found in [9], for instance.

Definition 2.4 *Given a matrix $M \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$, define a matrix $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \in M(2n, \mathbb{R})$ by first setting $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix}$ for a complex scalar $z = x + iy$. We then define $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = (\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(m_{ij}))$, i.e., $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ is a $n \times n$ block matrix, with the (i,j)th block equal to the 2×2 real matrx $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(m_{ij})$.*

Remark 2.5 Properties of $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ Some useful useful properties of the map $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ now follow:

i) $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map.

ii) $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(MN) = \theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M)\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(N)$

iii) $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M^*) = [\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M)]^T$

iv) $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(I_n) = I_{2n}$

v) A useful property is the following: $X \in M(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is in the image of $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ iff $X^T = \widetilde{J}_{2n}^{-1} X^T \widetilde{J}_{2n}$.

Remark 2.6 We call an $X \in \mathrm{I}m(\theta_{\mathbb{C}})$, a $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ matrix. It is tempting, but confusing, to call such matrices complex matrices. Similarly, if $X \in M(2n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $X^T = -\widetilde{J}_{2n}^{-1} X^T \widetilde{J}_{2n}$, it will be called an anti - $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ matrix. These are precisely the linear anti-holomorphic maps on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Note the map $X \to \widetilde{J}_{2n}^{-1} X \widetilde{J}_{2n}$ is an involution on $M(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Its $+1$ eigenspace is precisely the space of $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ matrices and its -1 eigenspace is the space of anti-$\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ matrices. Thus, from general properties of involutions, $M(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is a direct sum of these two subspaces.

Next, to a matrix with quaternion entries will be associated a complex matrix. First, if $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is a quaternion, it can be written uniquely in the form $q = z + wj$, for some $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $j\eta = \bar{\eta} j$, for any $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. With this at hand, the following construction associating complex matrices to matrices with quaternionic entries (see [9] for instance) is useful:

Definition 2.7 *Let $X \in M(n, \mathbb{H})$. By writing each entry x_{pq} of X as*

$$x_{pq} = z_{pq} + w_{pq} j, \ z_{pq}, w_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}$$

we can write X uniquely as $X = Z + Wj$ with $Z, W \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$. Associate to X the following matrix $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \in M(2n, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} Z & W \\ -\bar{W} & \bar{Z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 2.8 Viewing an $X \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ as an element of $M(n, \mathbb{H})$ it is immediate that $jX = \bar{X} j$, where $\bar{X}$ is entrywise complex conjugation of X.

Next some useful properties of the map $\theta_{\mathbb{H}} : M(n, \mathbb{H}) \to M(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are collected.

Remark 2.9 Properties of $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$:

i) $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map.

ii) $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(XY) = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}(X)\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(Y)$

iii) $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*) = [\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(X)]^*$. Here the $*$ on the left is quaternionic Hermitian conjugation, while that on the right is complex Hermitian conjugation.

iv) $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(I_n) = I_{2n}$

v) A less known property is the following: $\Lambda \in M(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is in the image of $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ iff $\Lambda^* = J_{2n}^{-1} X^T J_{2n}$.

Remark 2.10 We call an $\Lambda \in Im(\theta_{\mathbb{H}})$, a $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix. In [9] such matrices are called matrices of the quaternion type. But we eschew this nomenclature for the same reason as for avoiding the terminology complex matrices. Similarly, if $\Lambda \in M(2n, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\Lambda^* = -J_{2n}^{-1} X^T J_{2n}$, we say Λ is an anti-$\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix. Note, that the map $\Lambda \to J_{2n}^{-1}\bar{\Lambda}J_{2n}$ is an involution. The $+1$ eigenspace of this involution is precisely the subspace of $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrices, while the -1-eigenspace is the subspace of anti-$\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrices, and hence $M(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is a direct sum of these two subspaces.

2.5 Minimal Polynomials and Exponential Formulae:

The minimal polynomial of a matrix $X \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the unique monic polynomial, $m_X(x)$, of minimal degree which annihilates X. Minimal polynomials can, just as any other annihilating polynomial, be used to compute functions of X. One typical mode to do so is to use the annihilating polynomial to establish recurrences for higher powers of X, and in turn for any analytic function of X. Naturally the recurrences are simpler on the eye, when the minimal polynomial is used. An alternative method is to use such polynomials and interpolation techniques for constructing functions of X, [10]. This method is particularly useful when it is known in advance that X is diagonalizable (the only case of pertinence to this paper). In this case the roots of the minimal polynomial are distinct and the venerable Lagrange interpolation technique yields the desired function. We will confine ourselves to giving explicit formulae for e^X when m_X is one of the four following polynomials. Both the recurrence method and the interpolation method lead to the same representation for e^X as one may confirm.

Theorem 2.11 *Let $X \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ be non-zero. Then we have*

I) *If $m_X(x) = x^2 + \lambda^2$, with $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $e^X = \cos(\lambda)I + \frac{\sin(\lambda)}{\lambda}X$.*

II) *If If $m_X = x^2 + 2i\gamma x + \lambda^2$, with γ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, both non-zero, then $e^X = e^{-i\gamma}[(\cos(\sigma) + \frac{i\gamma}{\sigma}\sin(\sigma))I + \frac{\sin(\sigma)}{\sigma}X]$, where σ is the positive square root of $\lambda^2 + \gamma^2$.*

III) *If $m_X = x^3 + c^2 x$, with $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $e^X = I + \frac{\sin c}{c}X + \frac{1-\cos c}{c^2}X^2$.*

IV) *If $m_X(x) = x^4 + \theta^2 x^2 + \lambda^2$, with θ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, both non-zero, and satisfying $\theta^4 > 4\lambda^2$, then*

$$e^X = \frac{1}{b^2 - a^2}\{(\frac{b\sin a - a\sin b}{ab})X^3 + (\cos a - \cos b)X^2 + (\frac{b^3\sin a - a^3\sin b}{ab})X + (b^2\cos a - a^2\cos b)I\}$$

Here a and b are positive square roots of positive numbers a^2 and b^2, which in turn are defined to be the unique positive solutions to $a^2 + b^2 = \theta^2$; $a^2 b^2 = \lambda^2$.

Remark 2.12 It is possible that a matrix may be the sum of commuting summands, each of which has a low degree minimal polynomial, even though the original matrix has a high degree minimal polynomial. Thus, the exponential of such matrices can be quite easily found. Some instances of this phenomenon are to be found in [18].

2.6 $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$

The algebra isomorphism between between $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ (also denoted by $gl(4, \mathbb{R})$) may be summarized as follows:

- Associate to each product tensor $p \otimes q \in \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$, the matrix, $M_{p\otimes q}$, of the map which sends $x \in \mathbb{H}$ to $px\bar{q}$, identifying $\mathbb{R}^4$ with $\mathbb{H}$ via the basis $\{1,\ i,\ j,\ k\}$. Here, $\bar{q} = q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k$
- Extend this to the full tensor product by linearity. This yields an associative algebra isomorphism between $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, a basis for $gl(4, \mathbb{R})$ is provided by the sixteen matrices $M_{e_x \otimes e_y}$ as e_x, e_y run through 1, i, j, k.
- We define conjugation on $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ by setting $p \bar{\otimes}\ q = \bar{p}\otimes\bar{q}$ and then extending by linearity. Conjugation in $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ corresponds to matrix transposition, i.e., $M_{\bar{p}\otimes\bar{q}} = (M_{p\otimes q})^T$. A consequence of this is that any matrix of the form $M_{1\otimes p}$ or $M_{q\otimes 1}$, with $p,\ q \in \mathbb{P}$ is a real antisymmetric matrix. Similarly, the most general special orthogonal matrix in $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ admits an expression of the form $M_{p\otimes q}$, with p and q both unit quaternions.

Remark 2.13 $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$: Since any complex matrix can be written as $Y + iZ$, with Y, Z in $M\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$, it follows that matrices in $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$ also possess quaternionic representations. In particular a complex symmetric matrix can be written as $M_{p\otimes i+q\otimes j+r\otimes k}$, with $p,\ q,\ r \in \mathbb{C}^3$. It should be clear from the context whether i is a complex number or a quaternion, in this regard. For instance $iM_{i\otimes j}$ [or just $i(i \otimes j)$] is the complex matrix equalling the complex numer i times the real matrix $M_{i\otimes j}$.

Remark 2.14 Three matrices from this basis for $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ provided by $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ are important for us. They are:

- $M_{1\otimes j}$ is precisely J_4.
- The matrix $M_{1\otimes i}$, which we denote by $\widehat{J}_4$.
- The matrix $M_{j\otimes 1}$, which we denote by $\breve{J}_4$.

Note that $\widetilde{J}_4$ is not part of this basis. It is, of course, permutation similar to J_4. Each of these 3 matrices above is both antisymmetric and special orthogonal. As will be seen later the first two are explicitly similar by a special orthogonal matrix. The third is similar to the other two, but not by a special orthogonal similarity.

2.7 Other Matrix Theoretic Facts

Throughout this note many important matrices are expressible as Kronecker products $A \otimes B$ and so, the following properties of Kronecker products will be freely used:

- $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$. $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$.
- If A and B are square then $\mathrm{T}r(A \otimes B) = \mathrm{T}r(A)\mathrm{T}r(B)$.

Schur's Determinantal Formulae: We will use the following special case of Schur's Determinantal Formulae, [9]: Suppose $X_{2n\times 2n}$ is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with A, B, C, D all $n \times n$. Then if B is invertible, $\det(X) = (-1)^{n^2} \det(B) \det(C - DB^{-1}A)$.

$$
\begin{array}{lcll}
F_1 & = & (\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4)\epsilon_1 i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_x \\ -\sigma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} & = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_x) \\
F_2 & = & (\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4)\epsilon_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_y \\ -i\sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix} & = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_y) \\
F_3 & = & (\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4)\epsilon_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_z \\ -i\sigma_z & 0 \end{pmatrix} & = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_z) \\
F_4 & = & (\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4)\epsilon_2 \begin{pmatrix} iI_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -iI_2 \end{pmatrix} & = i\sigma_z \otimes I_2 \\
F_5 & = & e_1 & = J_4
\end{array}
$$

Table 1: 1 - vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(0,5)$

3 Reversion and Rotation in Dimension Five

First a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ will be constructed by starting with the Pauli basis for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 0)$ and applying the iterative constructions **IC1** and **IC2** of Section 2.3.

Thus, let $\{Z_1 = \sigma_x,\ Z_2 = \sigma_y,\ Z_3 = \sigma_z\}$ be a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 0)$. Applying **IC1** to this yields the following basis for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$:

$$
\epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_x \end{pmatrix};\ \epsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_y \end{pmatrix};\ \epsilon_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_z \end{pmatrix};\ \epsilon_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix};\ e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Next let us apply **IC2** of Sec 2.3 to this last basis to arrive at a basis for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$. To that end we first need the product $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4$. A quick calculation shows

$$
\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = iJ_4
$$

Then **IC2** says that a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ is $\{F_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, 5\}$, as given in Table 1.

Note that the presence of J_4 in the basis is *unavoidable*, by construction, since the presence of $e_1 = J_4$ in a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$ and hence in that for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ is required by construction.

Inspired by the expected role of J_4, we now seek an expression for reversion on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ of the form

$$
\Phi^{rev}(X) = M^{-1}X^TM
$$

where M is a real orthogonal antisymmetric matrix. The unavoidable presence of J_4 in the basis of 1-vectors , immediately implies that $M \neq J_4$ and $M \neq \tilde{J}_4$. Indeed, for these two choices of M, we find that $M^{-1}F_5^TM = M^{-1}J_4^TM = -F_5 \neq F_5$. So an alternative choice for M is needed. Given that we are working 4×4 matrices, we are lead inexorably to the $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ basis for $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Slight experimentation reveals that

$$
M = M_{1\otimes i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

does the job, i.e., $M_{1\otimes i}^{-1}F_i^TM_{1\otimes i} = F_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, 5$.

It is useful to note that $M_{1\otimes i}$ also equals the following two matrices:

i) $M_{1\otimes i} = J_2 \oplus (-J_2)$. Since $J_2^{-1} = -J_2$, this immediately reveals that $M_{1\otimes i}^{-1} = -M_{1\otimes i}$;

ii) $M_{1\otimes i} = \sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y)$, and thus, $M_{1\otimes i}^{-1} = \sigma_z \otimes (-i\sigma_y)$. This representation is pertinent since the F_i all have the form of Kronecker products of 2×2 matrices and thus we will be able to use the properties of the Kronecker product (see Section 2.7) to facilitate calculation of $M_{1\otimes i}^{-1}F_i^TM_{1\otimes i}$.

The second of these two representations confirms that $\phi^{rev}(X) = M_{1\otimes i}^{-1} X^T M_{1\otimes i}$. For future convenience we denote $M_{1\otimes i}$ as $\hat{J}_4$, and correspondingly denote

$$\widehat{Sp}(4) = \left\{ X \in M(4,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X \in U(4),\ X^T \widehat{J}_4 X = \widehat{J}_4 \right\}$$

It is well-known, and confirmed also by the above basis $\{F_i\}$, that Clifford conjugation on $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 5)$ is

$$\phi^{cc}(X) = X^*$$

Hence the grade automorphism becomes

$$\phi^{gr}(X) = \widehat{J}_4^{-1} \bar{X} \widehat{J}_4$$

Thus, with respect to this choice of a basis of 1-vectors, it is seen that

$$Spin(5) = \left\{ X \in M(4,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* X = I_4,\ M_{1\otimes i} X = \bar{X} M_{1\otimes i} \right\} = \widehat{Sp}(4)$$

In summary, we have shown the following:

Proposition 3.1 *Let $B = \{F_1 = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_x),\ F_2 = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_y),\ F_3 = \sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_z),\ F_4 = i\sigma_z \otimes I_2,\ F_5 = J_4\}$. Then B is a basis for V, the space of 1-vectors for $Cl(0,\ 5)$. With respect to B we have the following:*

i) *The reversion anti-automorphism on $Cl(0,\ 5)$ is given by $\phi^{rev}(X) = M_{1\otimes i}^{-1} X^T M_{1\otimes i}$.*

ii) *Clifford conjugation is given by $\phi^{cc}(X) = X^*$.*

iii) *$Spin(5) = \widehat{Sp}(4) = \left\{ X \in M(4,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* X = I_4,\ X^T \widehat{J}_4 X = \widehat{J}_4 \right\}$, where $\widehat{J}_4 = M_{1\otimes i}$.*

iv) *The standard covering map $\Phi_5 : Spin(5) \to SO(5,\ \mathbb{R})$ is given by sending $G \in \widehat{Sp}(4)$ to the matrix of the linear map $\Psi_G : V \to V$ where*

$$\Phi_G(Y) = GYG^*$$

with respect to the basis B.

v) *The Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi_5 : \widehat{sp}(4) \to \mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$, where $\widehat{sp}(4)$ is the Lie algebra of the group $\widehat{Sp}(4)$, is obtained by linearizing $\Phi_5 : Spin(5) \to SO(5,\ \mathbb{R})$. Thus it is the map which sends $A \in \widehat{sp}(4)$ to the matrix, with respect to B, of the linear map $\psi_A : V \to V$ where*

$$\psi_A(Z) = AZ - ZA$$

An immediate corollary of this result is that one can explicitly identify the matrix forms of Clifford conjugation and reversion on $\mathrm{C}l(1,6)$.

Corollary 3.2 *Consider the following basis of 1-vectors of $Cl(1,6) = M(8,\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\left\{ K_8,\ \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 0_4 \\ 0_4 & -F_i \end{pmatrix},\ J_8 \right\}$$

where F_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ is as in Proposition 3.1.

Let $X \in M(8,\mathbb{C}) = Cl(1,6)$. Then with respect to this basis of 1-vectors we have

1. *$X^{cc} = P^{-1} X^T P$, with $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0_4 & \hat{J}_4 \\ -\hat{J}_4 & 0_4 \end{pmatrix}$*
2. *$X^{rev} = K_8^{-1} X^* K_8$.*

Proof: This is an elementary consequence of block multiplication and Remark 2.3. $\diamondsuit$

$$\begin{array}{lcllcl} X_1 & = & i(j\otimes j) & X_6 & = & i(i\otimes j) \\ X_2 & = & i\otimes 1 & X_7 & = & 1\otimes i \\ X_3 & = & k\otimes 1 & X_8 & = & j\otimes 1 \\ X_4 & = & i(j\otimes k) & X_9 & = & i(k\otimes k) \\ X_5 & = & i(k\otimes j) & X_{10} & = & i(i\otimes k) \end{array}$$

Table 2: Basis for $\widehat{sp}(4)$

3.1 Computing the Lie Algebra Isomorphism $\psi : \widehat{sp}\,(4) \to \mathfrak{so}\,(5,\ \mathbb{R})$

The Lie algebra of the $\widehat{Sp}\,(4)$ is given by

$$\widehat{sp}\,(4) = \left\{ X \in M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* = -X,\ X^T \hat{J}_4 = -\hat{J}_4 X \right\}$$

The second condition is equivalent to saying that the $X \in \widehat{sp}\,(4)$ can be expressed as $\widehat{J}_4 S$, where S is a *complex* symmetric matrix. In view of Remark 2.13, this condition alone says that such an X's $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ representation must be of the form

$$X = (1\otimes i)(p\otimes i + q\otimes j + r\otimes k + a1\otimes 1)$$

with p, q, $r \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. However, the other condition, $X^* = -X$, forces $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and q, $r \in (i\mathbb{R})^3$ (that is the components of q, r are purely imaginary).

Thus the most general such X has an $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ representation of the form

$$X = -p\otimes 1 + a1\otimes i + q\otimes k - r\otimes j$$

with $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and q, $r \in (i\mathbb{R})^3$. The negative signs are inessential and so a basis of $\widehat{sp}\,(4)$ can be written in $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ form, keeping in mind the remark on notation in Remark 2.13, as in Table 2.

Now to compute the image under Ψ_5 of such a basis element of $\widehat{sp}\,(4)$, call it X, we have to compute $XF_i - F_iX$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ where $\{F_i\}$ is the basis of 1-vectors in Proposition 3.1 and express the result as a real linear combination of the F_i.

We will content ourselves with an illustration of the calculation for $X_7 = 1\otimes i$. We find

- $X_7F_1 - F_1X_7 = (\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)(\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_x)) - (\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_x))(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y) = 0.$

  Here, the fact that X_7 can also be written as $(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)$ and that F_1 can also be written in the form $\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_x)$ was employed.
- $X_7F_2 - F_2X_7 = (\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)((\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_y)) - (\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_y))(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y) = 2\sigma_z\sigma_x\otimes I_2 = 2i\sigma_y\otimes I_2 = 2F_5.$
- $X_7F_3 - F_3X_7 = (\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)(\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_z)) - (\sigma_x\otimes(-i\sigma_z))(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y) = 0.$
- $X_7F_4 - F_4X_7 = (\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)(i\sigma_z\otimes I_2 - (i\sigma_z\otimes I_2(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y) = 0.$
- $X_7F_5 - F_5X_7 = (\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y)i\sigma_y\otimes I_2 - i\sigma_y\otimes I_2(\sigma_z\otimes i\sigma_y) = 2\sigma_x\otimes(i\sigma_y) = -2F_5.$

Hence $\Psi_5(X_7) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. More compactly,

$$\Psi_5(X_7) = 2(e_5e_2^T - e_2e_5^T) \text{ (here, ofcourse } e_i \text{ is the } i\text{th standard unit vector)}$$

In summary, the following holds:

$\widehat{sp}(4)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$	$\widehat{sp}(4)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$
$iM_{j\otimes j}$	$2(e_1e_2^T - e_2e_1^T)$	$iM_{i\otimes j}$	$2(e_2e_4^t - e_4e_2^T)$
$M_{i\otimes 1}$	$2(e_3e_1^T - e_1e_3^T)$	$M_{1\otimes i}$	$2(e_5e_2^T - e_2e_5^T)$
$M_{k\otimes 1}$	$2(e_1e_4^T - e_4e_1^T)$	$M_{j\otimes 1}$	$2(e_4e_3^T - e_3e_4^T)$
$iM_{j\otimes k}$	$2(e_1e_5^T - e_5e_1^T)$	$iM_{k\otimes k}$	$2(e_5e_3^T - e_3e_5^T)$
$iM_{k\otimes j}$	$2(e_2e_3^T - e_3e_2^T)$	$iM_{i\otimes k}$	$2(e_5e_4^T - e_4e_5^T)$

Table 3: Lie algebra isomorphism between $\widehat{sp}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$

Theorem 3.3 *The Lie algebra isomorphism* $\Psi_5 : \widehat{sp}(4) \to \mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$ *is described by Table 3*:

Remark 3.4 We have $\widehat{J}_4 = M_{1\otimes i}$, while the standard representation of the symplectic form, J_4 is $J_4 = M_{1\otimes j}$. This makes it extremely easy to find a special orthogonal conjugation between the two. Since every element of $SO(4,\mathbb{R})$ has a $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ representation of the form $M_{p\otimes q}$, for unit quaternions, we let $U^T = M_{p\otimes q}$ and seek U so that

$$U^T\widehat{J}_4U = J_4$$

Using the properties of the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H} \simeq M(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ of Section 2.6, it is obvious that we can let $p = 1$ and seek q to be a unit quaternion satisfying

$$qi\bar{q} = j$$

Of the infinite choices possible, let us pick $q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+k)$ for concreteness. The corresponding U^T can then also be expressed as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(I_4 + \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y))$.

With this explicit conjugation available, the following are immediate:

I) $U[Sp(4)]U^T = \widehat{Sp}(4)$; and $U[sp(4)]U^T = \widehat{sp}(4)$.

II) One can use this conjugation to find yet another basis of 1 -vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 5)$, viz.,

$$\{I_2\otimes(i\sigma_z),\ \sigma_x\otimes(i\sigma_y),\ I_2\otimes(i\sigma_x),\ i\sigma_y\otimes\sigma_y,\ \sigma_z\otimes(i\sigma_y)\}$$

With respect to this basis Clifford conjugation is once again Hermitian conjugation, but reversion is $Y \to J_4^{-1}Y^TJ_4$. Thus, S*pin*(5) is, with respect to this basis, the standard representation of $Sp(4)$.

We emphasize however, that this basis was arrived at only by going through $\widehat{J}_4$ first. In other words, this basis, to the best of our knowledge, does <u>not</u> naturally arise from first principles as does the basis $\{F_i \mid i = 1,\ldots,5\}$ in Proposition 3.1.

Computing Exponentials in $\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$

Specializing Algorithm 1.2 yields the following method for computing the exponential of a matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$:

- If $X \in \mathfrak{so}(5,\ \mathbb{R})$, find $Y = \Psi_5^{-1}(X) \in \widehat{sp}(4)$ using Table 3.
- Compute e^Y.
- Find $e^YF_je^{-Y}$, $\forall j = 1,\ldots,5$. Express $e^YF_je^{-Y} = \sum_{i=1}^5 c_{ij}F_i$.
- Then e^X is the matrix whose ith column is $\begin{pmatrix} c_{i1} \\ c_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{i5} \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus, the problem of computing e^X is reduced to the problem of computing the exponential of a 4×4 matrix, Y, which furthermore has additional structure, thereby rendering the computation of e^Y in closed form very easy.

4 Minimal Polynomials of Matrices in $\widehat{sp}\,(4)$

In this section we show that the minimal polynomials of matrices in $Y \in \widehat{sp}\,(4)$ can be computed explicitly, and that these explicit forms lead correspondingly to explicit formulae for e^Y. Indeed, as will be seen below, the minimal polynomials that arise are each one of the four types in Theorem 2.11.

To this end, it is easier to work with matrices in the standard representation, viz., $sp\,(4)$, and use the connection of such matrices to $M\,(2,\ \mathbb{H})$. It should be pointed that the results obtained below are invariant under conjugation by a special orthogonal matrix, and hence extend verbatim to matrices in $\widehat{sp}\,(4)$ and thus there is no need to find first the element in $sp\,(4)$ conjugate to the matrix $Y \in \widehat{sp}\,(4)$ (See Remark 4.6). In fact, it will be seen in Remark 4.7 that the quantities intervening in the result about the minimal polynomials are easier to calculate for $\widehat{sp}\,(4)$.

Recall that if $Z \in M\,(2,\ \mathbb{H})$, then $Z = A + Bj$, with $A,\ B \in M\,(2,\ \mathbb{C})$. Denote

$$Y = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence by v) of Remark 2.9 of Sec 2.4,

$$Y^* = Y^\dagger$$

where $Y^\dagger = -J_4 Y^T J_4$. Matrices in $sp\,(4)$ are clearly $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$-matrices. Therefore, the following result is pertinent:

Proposition 4.1 *If $Y \in M\,(2n,\ \mathbb{C})$ is a $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$-matrix then its minimal and characteristic polynomials are both real polynomials.*

Proof: Let $m_Y(x) = x^k + c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + c_0$

So from

$$Y^k + c_{k-1}Y^{k-1} + \ldots + c_1 Y + c_0 I = 0$$

we get

$$(Y^*)^k + \bar{c}_{k-1}(Y^*)^{k-1} + \ldots + \bar{c}_1 Y^* + \bar{c}_0 I = 0$$

Thus $\bar{m}_Y(x) = x^k + \bar{c}_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + \bar{c}_0$ annihilates Y^*. Suppose $q(x) = x^l + d_{l-1}x^{l-1} + \ldots + d_0$ annihilates Y^*, with $\underline{l < k}$. Then the same argument just used shows that $\bar{q}$, a polynomial of degree l, annihilates Y. Thus contradicts the minimality of $m_Y(x)$. Hence k is also the degree of the minimal polynomial of Y^*, and standard properties of minimal polynomials shows that the minimal polynomial of Y^* is indeed $\bar{m}_Y(x)$. But $Y^\dagger$ is evidently similar to Y^T, and thus to Y. So as Y is a $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$-matrix, we see that $m_y(x) = \bar{m}_Y(x)$. Hence $m_Y(x)$ is a real polynomial.

Next let $p_Y(x) = \det(xI - A)$ be the characteristic polynomial of Y. Then the characteristic polynomial of Y^* is the complex conjugate of $p_Y(\bar{x})$, and hence $p_{Y^*}(x) = p_Y(x)$. But $p_{Y^\dagger}(x) = p_{Y^T}(x) = p_Y(x)$. So, as $Y^\dagger = Y^*$, it is evident that p_Y is also a real polynomial. $\diamondsuit$.

Matrices in $sp\,(4)$ are not only $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrices, but are also anti-Hermitian. This leads to further simplifications in their minimal polynomials:

Proposition 4.2 *Let $Y \in sp\,(4)$ and le $m_Y(x)$ be its minimal polynomial. Then $m_Y(-x) = m_Y(x)$ if the degree of m_Y is even, otherwise $m_Y(-x) = -m_Y(x)$.*

Proof: We have $Y^\dagger = -Y$, as $Y \in sp\,(4)$. So the minimal polynomial of $-Y$ is also m_Y. Hence, if $m_Y(x) = x^k + c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + c_1 x + c_0$, it follows that we must have

$$(-Y)^k + c_{k-1}(-Y)^{k-1} + \ldots - c_1 Y + c_0 I = 0$$

Hence if k is odd, we must have

$$Y^k - c_{k-1}Y^{k-1} + c_{k-1}Y^{k-2} + \ldots + c_0 I = 0$$

So $\hat{m}_Y(x) = x^k - c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + c_{k-1}x^{k-2} + \ldots + c_0$ is also the minimal polynomial of Y, and it thus coincides with $m_Y(x)$. This implies that all the even degree terms in $m_Y(x)$ vanish.

A similar calculation shows that all the odd degree terms in $m_Y(x)$ vanish if k is even. $\diamondsuit$

Remark 4.3 A similar result shows that the characteristic polynomial of $Y \in sp\,(4)$ is a real polynomial with only even degree terms.

Let us now apply the foregoing results to hone our statements about $m_Y(x)$ for $Y \in sp\,(4)$. Let

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now $Y \in sp\,(4)$ is equivalent to $(A + Bj)^* = -(A + Bj)$ (here the $*$ is Hermitian conjugation of matrices in $M\,(2,\ \mathbb{H})$). This is, of course, equivalent to $A^* = -A$ and $B^T = B$.

Since the characteristic polynomial of Y is of the form $x^4 + c_2x^2 + c_0$, we have

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{[\mathrm{T}r(Y)]^2 - \mathrm{T}r(Y^2)\}$$

Quite clearly $\mathrm{T}r(Y) = 2\mathrm{R}e[\mathrm{T}r(A)]$. But as A is anti-Hermitian its trace is purely imaginary. So $\mathrm{T}r(Y) = 0$. Hence

$$c_2 = \frac{-1}{2}\mathrm{T}r(Y^2)$$

Now $Y^2 = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}[(A + Bj)^2]$, and

$$(A + Bj)^2 = (A^2 - B\bar{B}) + (AB + B\bar{A})j$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{T}r(Y^2) = 2\mathrm{R}e[\mathrm{T}r(A^2 - B\bar{B})]$$

But $A^2 - B\bar{B} = -AA^* - BB^*$, which is a negative semidefinite matrix, and hence a matrix with real trace. So

$$c_2 = \mathrm{T}r(AA^* + BB^*) = \frac{1}{2}\left\|Y\right\|_F^2$$

So, we have an explicit formula for the characteristic polynomial of Y, viz.,

$$p_Y(x) = x^4 + (\frac{1}{2}\left\|Y\right\|_F^2)x^2 + \det(Y)$$

Remark 4.4 Since the characteristic polynomial of a matrix $Y \in sp\,(4)$ is a real polynomial on the one hand, and the eigenvalues of Y are purely imaginary on the other hand, we see that $\det(Y) \geq 0$. Hence $\frac{1}{2}\left\|Y\right\|_F^2$ is at least as big as the absolute value of the square root of $\frac{1}{4}\left\|Y\right\|_F^4 - 4\det(Y)$, i.e., $\left\|Y\right\|_F^4 \geq 16\det(Y)$.

From this we draw the following conclusions about the eigenstructure of a non-zero $Y \in sp\,(4)$:

- Y has 4 distinct eigenvalues, ia, $-ia$, ib, $-ib$, iff $\left\|Y\right\|_F^4 > 16\det(Y)$ and $\det(Y) \neq 0$.
- It has 3 distinct eigenvalues, ia, $-ia$, 0 (with 0 repeated twice) iff $\det(Y) = 0$.
- It has 2 distinct eigenvalues, ia and $-ia$ (each repeated twice) iff $\left\|Y\right\|_F^4 = 16\det(Y)$ (notice that in this case Y is non-singular, since $Y \neq 0$, precludes $\left\|Y\right\|_F = 0$).

Since Y is diagonalizable, the distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial are the roots, again distinct, of the minimal polynomial. Hence we find that its minimal polynomials are in each of these cases given as follows:

- $x^4 + (\frac{1}{2}\|Y\|_F^2)x^2 + \det(Y)$.
- $x^3 + a^2x$. To find a, note that the non-zero roots of the characteristic polynomial are in this case $\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\|Y\|_F, -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\|Y\|_F$. So $a^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|Y\|_F^2$.
- $x^2 + a^2$. In this case the roots of the characteristic polynomial are $\frac{i}{2}\|Y\|_F$ and $-\frac{i}{2}\|Y\|_F$. So the minimal polynomial is $x^2 + \frac{\|Y\|_F^2}{4}$.

Summarizing we have:

Theorem 4.5 *Let $Y \in sp(4)$ or $\widehat{sp}(4)$. Its minimal polynomial is one of the following:*

- *x, which happens iff $Y = 0$.*
- *$x^2 + \frac{\|Y\|_F^2}{4}$, which happens iff $Y \neq 0$ and $\|Y\|_F^4 = 16\det(Y)$.*
- *$x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(\|Y\|_F^2)x$, which happens iff $Y \neq 0$, but $\det(Y) = 0$.*
- *$x^4 + (\frac{1}{2}\|Y\|_F^2)x^2 + \det(Y)$, which happens iff $Y \neq 0$, $\det(Y) \neq 0$.*

Remark 4.6 Since all quantities intervening in the above theorem are invariant under real orthogonal similarity, the theorem extends verbatim to matrices $Y \in \widehat{sp}(4)$. Indeed, per Remark (3.4), if $Y \in \widehat{sp}(4)$, then $Z = U^TYU$ is in $sp(4)$, where U is the explicit real orthogonal matrix in Remark 3.4. Thus, *i)* the determinants of Y and Z coincide; *ii)* $\|Y\|_F = \|Z\|_F$; and *iii)* the minimal polynomials of Y and Z coincide.

Remark 4.7 Block Structure of $\widehat{sp}(4)$: It will be seen that the block structure of a matrix in $\widehat{sp}(4)$ has some benefits which matrices in $sp(4)$ do not. Let $X \in \widehat{sp}(4)$. If X is written as a 2×2 block matrix, with each block 2×2

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

then *i)* A, D are both in $sp(2)$; *ii)* $B = -C^*$ and *iii)* B is an anti - $\Theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix in $M(2, \mathbb{C})$.

To see this, note that $X = \hat{J}_4 S$ for some 4×4 symmetric matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} W & Y \\ Y^T & Z \end{pmatrix}$ and $X^* = -X$. Since $\hat{J}_4 = J_2 \oplus (-J_2)$, the first of these conditions says A and D are in $sp(2, \mathbb{C})$, and that $B = J_2Y$, $C = -J_2Y^T$ Together with the second condition it follows that A, $D \in sp(2)$, and since $B = -C^*$ that $Y^* = J_2Y^TJ_2$. This last condition is equivalent Y being an anti-$\Theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix. Since $B = J_2Y$ and J_2 itself is a $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix, it follows that B is an anti-$\Theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix in $M(2, \mathbb{C})$. From this we can conclude the following:

1. $\|X\|_F^2 = 2\left(|x_{11}|^2 + |x_{12}|^2 + |x_{33}|^2 + |x_{34}|^2\right) + 4\left(|x_{13}|^2 + |x_{14}|^2\right)$
2. The determinant of X requires only the computation of 2×2 determinants. To that end, first observe that an anti - $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \theta & \zeta \\ \bar{\zeta} & -\bar{\theta} \end{pmatrix}$, for some θ, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. So it is either invertible or identically zero. Hence, representing $X \in \widehat{sp}(4)$ as a block matrix, it follows that if $B = 0$, then $\det(X) = \det(A)\det(D)$. If B is invertible, then $\det(X) = (-1)^4\det(B)\det(-B^* - DB^{-1}A) = \det(B)\det(B^* + DB^{-1}A)$, which follows from the special case of the determinantal formulae of Schur mentioned in Section 2.7.

The last item above shows that for a determinant calculation at least $\widehat{sp}(4)$ is more amenable than $sp(4)$. Indeed, if $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} \in sp(4)$, then one will need a 4×4 determinant calculation, when both A and B fail to be invertible, since it is now possible for A and B to be singular without being identically zero.

Remark 4.8 There is an alternative characterization of when $Y \in sp\,(4)$ possesses a quadratic minimal polynomial. This characterization is mostly applicable for $Y \in sp\,(2n)$ also. Consider $Y = \theta(A + Bj) \in sp\,(2n)$. Squaring Y, we find

$$Y^2 = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}[(A + Bj)^2] = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}[(-A^2 - B\bar{B}) + (AB + B\bar{A})j]$$

But $A^* = -A$ and $\bar{B} = B^*$. Similarly $\bar{A} = -A^T$, while $B = B^T$. So we find

$$Y^2 = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}[(-AA^* - BB^*)) + (AB - (AB)^T)j]$$

So $Y^2 = -c^2 Y$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, iff the positive semidefinite matrix $AA^* + BB^*$ is a scalar matrix, and the matrix AB is symmetric.

Now these 2 conditions are also equivalent to $A + Bj$ being, upto a positive constant, an unitary element of $M\,(2,\ \mathbb{H})$, i.e., to $(A + Bj)(A + Bj)^* = c^2 I_2$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed

$$(A + Bj(A + Bj)^* = (AA^* + BB^* + (BA^T - AB^T)j$$

Once again, using $B^T = B$, we conclude that

$$(A + Bj)(A + Bj)^* = (AA^* + BB^*) + ((AB)^T - (AB))j = c^2 I$$

When $n = 2$, these lead to easily verfied conditions on the entries of A and B. Specifically, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} ia & z_1 \\ -\bar{z_1} & ib \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_3 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$, then $Y = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}(A + Bj)$ has a quadratic minimal polynomial iff

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 + |z_2|^2 &= b^2 + |z_4|^2 \\ \bar{z}_3 z_2 + z_3 \bar{z}_4 &= ia\bar{z}_1 + ibz_1 \\ z_1(z_4 + z_2) &= i(b - a)z_3 \end{aligned}$$

One can write down conditions on A and B for an arbitrary $Y = \theta_{\mathbb{H}}(A + Bj)$ in $sp\,(2n)$ to have $x^3 + c^2 x$ as its minimal polynomial, by directly computing $(A + Bj)^3$. However, these conditions don't lead to any succinctly stated conditions even when $n = 2$.

5 $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$

As is well known the spin group of $SO\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ is $SU\,(4)$, and there is correspondingly an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$. In this section we will produce a basis of 1-vectors of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ which is natural from the point of view of the constructions of Sec 2.3 and which will enable the computation of exponentials of matrices in $\mathfrak{so}\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ via a computation of exponentials of matrices in $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$. Moreover in this construction, the matrix $\widetilde{J}_8$ naturally intervenes.

We begin with $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 0)$ and repeatedly apply **IC1** of Sec 2.3, to first produce a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 3) = M\,(8,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Since the set of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 0)$ is the empty set, $\{\sigma_x,\ \sigma_y\}$ is what **IC1** gives for a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(1, 1)$.

Hence a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(2, 2)$ is then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_x \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_y \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This produces the following basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(3,\ 3)$

$$\{\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x,\ \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \otimes I_2 \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y,\ \sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes I_2,\ \sigma_x \otimes I_4,\ i\sigma_y \otimes I_4\}$$

Next, we use **IC3** of Sec 2.3, relating $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ and $\mathrm{C}l(p + 1, q - 1)$, to produce, via this basis, a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 2)$:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} Y_1 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) & = & \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z) \\ Y_2 & = & (I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_z)(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) & = & i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \\ Y_3 & = & (-I_2 \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) & = & i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_z \\ Y_4 & = & (-\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) & = & -\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z \\ Y_5 & = & -I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x & = & -I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \\ Y_6 & = & -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x & = & -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \end{array}$$

Table 4: Basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l(0,6)$

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \tilde{e}_1 & = & \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x & \tilde{e}_4 = (i\sigma_y \otimes I_4)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) \\ \tilde{e}_2 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & \tilde{e}_5 = (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \otimes I_2)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) \\ \tilde{e}_3 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes I_2)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & \tilde{e}_6 = (\sigma_x \otimes I_4)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) \end{array}$$

Doing the requisite Kronecker multiplications this basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 2)$ assumes the following form:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \tilde{e}_1 & = & \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x & \tilde{e}_4 = -\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \\ \tilde{e}_2 & = & I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_z & \tilde{e}_5 = -I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \\ \tilde{e}_3 & = & -I_2 \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x & \tilde{e}_6 = -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \end{array}$$

Finally, using **IC2** of Sec 2.3, relating $\mathrm{C}l\,(p,\ q)$ to $\mathrm{C}l(p-4, q+4)$, produces a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$. To that end, we first need to find $\tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_4$. This is given by

$$\tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_4 = i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y$$

This results in a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ as shown in Table 4.

Remark 5.1 Each of the Y_i are tensor products of 3 matrices, of which two are real symmetric and one is real antisymmetric. Hence, $Y_i^T = -Y_i$, for all i. Since matrix transposition is an anti-involution, we find, as expected, from this that (with respect to this basis of 1-vectors), Clifford conjugation on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ coincides with matrix transposition.

Next a matrix form for reversion on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ (with respect to the basis, $\{Y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, 6\}$, of 1-vectors) will be found. We are guided in this by 3 facts: i) the Y_i are all tensor products of 3 matrices, and the matrix $i\sigma_y$ is one of the 3 factors in each Y_i; ii) the matrices J_8 and $\tilde{J}_8$ are also triple tensor products with $i\sigma_y$ again one of the factors. Specifically, $J_8 = i\sigma_y \otimes I_4 = i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2$ and $\tilde{J}_8 = I_4 \otimes (i\sigma_y) = I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)$; and iii) Neither J_8 nor $\tilde{J}_8$ are any of the $Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6$. In view of the multiplication table for the Pauli matrices, it is natural to seek reversion in the form $M^{-1}X^TM$, with M either J_8 or $\widetilde{J}_8$. A few calculations reveal that $J_8^{-1}Y_i^TJ_8 \neq Y_i, \forall i$. Hence, reversion cannot be given by $J_8^{-1}X^TJ_8$. However, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 5.2 i) *The reversion anti-involution on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, with respect to the basis*

$$\begin{array}{lcll} Y_1 & = & \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z) & Y_4 = -\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z \\ Y_2 & = & i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x & Y_5 = -I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \\ Y_3 & = & i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_z & Y_6 = -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \end{array}$$

of 1-vectors is given by $\Phi^{rev}(X) = \widetilde{J}_8^T X^T \widetilde{J}_8$, for all $X \in \mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$.

ii) *The grade involution on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, with respect to the basis $\{Y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, 6\}$ of 1-vectors is given by $\Phi^{gr}(X) = \widetilde{J}_8^T X \widetilde{J}_8$. Thus, the algebra of even vectors in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ is the image of $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$, under $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$, in $M\,(8,\ \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof: First note that

$$\widetilde{J}_8^{-1} = \widetilde{J}_8^T = I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (-i\sigma_Y)$$

Next, it suffices to to check that the map $X \to \widetilde{J}_8^T X^T \widetilde{J}_8$, which is evidently an anti-involution, is the identity map on 1-vectors. For this, in turn, it suffices to verify that $\widetilde{J}_8^T Y_i^T \widetilde{J}_8 = Y_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. This computation is facilitated by the representations of the Y_i, $\widetilde{J}_8, \widetilde{J}_8^T$ all as threefold Kronecker products. We will content ourselves with demonstrating this for Y_1:

$$\widetilde{J}_8^T Y_1^T \widetilde{J}_8 = [I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (-i\sigma_y)][\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z)]^T [(I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)]$$

Using the fact that $i\sigma_y$ is antisymmetric, while σ_x, σ_z are symmetric, we find that $\widetilde{J}_8^T Y_1^T \widetilde{J}_8$, is therefore

$$[I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (-i\sigma_y)][\sigma_x \otimes (-i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z)][(I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)] = \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z) = Y_1$$

A similar computation reveals the result to hold for the remaining Y_i's.

The second part of the proposition now is just a consequence of of the last sentence of Remark 5.1. Hence, being an even vector is equivalent to $X = \widetilde{J}_8^T X \widetilde{J}_8$, i.e., to $X^T = \widetilde{J}_8^T X^T \widetilde{J}_8$, which by v) of Remark 2.5 says precisely that $X = \Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$ for some $Y \in M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$. $\diamondsuit$

This yields the following:

Corollary 5.3 *Consider the basis of* 1*-vectors for* $Cl(1,7)$ *given by* $\left\{K_{16},\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_i & 0 \\ 0 & -Y_i \end{pmatrix},\ J_{16}\right\}$*, where* Y_i*,* $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ *is as in Proposition 5.2. Then for* $X \in Cl(1,7) = M(16, \mathbb{R})$*, the following hold:*

- $X^{cc} = Q^{-1} X^T Q$*, with* $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0_8 & \widetilde{J}_8 \\ \widetilde{J}_8 & 0_8 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $X^{rev} = K_{16}^{-1} X^T K_{16}$.

Returning to $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, it now follows that S$pin(6)$ is the collection of $Z \in \mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6) = M\,(8,\ \mathbb{R})$ satsifying

i) $ZZ^T = I_n$.

ii) Z is even, i.e., $Z = \Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$, for some $W \in M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$.

iii) ZYZ^T is a 1-vector for all 1-vectors $Y \in \mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$.

The first two conditions say that $Z = \Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ for some $W \in U\,(4)$. However, as is well known, unlike the case of S$pin\,(5)$, the last condition is no longer superfluous. Dimension considerations say that the third condition forces the corresponding W to be a connected 15 dimensional subgroup of $U\,(4)$. The obvious candidate is $SU\,(4)$. Within the context of the derivation above, this can be verified in one of several explicit ways. For instance,

I) Suppose we have a set of generators M_k for $SU\,(4)$, i.e., every element of $SU\,(4)$ can be factorized into a product of the M_k's. Then it suffices to check that $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k) Y_i [\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k)]^{-1}$ is a real linear combination of the Y_i's for each Y_i and each M_k. Here, as before $\{Y_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, 6$ is the basis of 1-vectors of $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ in Proposition 5.2. Given the Kronecker product representations of the Y_i, a convenient choice for the M_k is the following collection of matrices

$$\begin{aligned} &\{I_2 \otimes (\exp\left[i\left(\alpha\sigma_x + \beta\sigma_y + \gamma\sigma_z\right)\right]),\ \ (\exp\left[i\left(\mu\sigma_x + \nu\sigma_y + \eta\sigma_z\right)\right]) \otimes I_2, \\ &\exp\left(ia\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x\right),\ \ \exp\left(ib\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y\right),\ \ \exp\left(ic\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z\right)\} \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \nu, \eta, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. This is one of the so-called KAK decompositions of $SU\,(4)$ and is very useful in quantum information theory, for instance.

$X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$	$\Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$	$X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$	$\Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$	$X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$	$\Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$
$i\sigma_x \otimes I_2$	$\sigma_x \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_z)$	$I_2 \otimes \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y$	$\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes I_2$
$i\sigma_y \otimes I_2$	$i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$	$\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z$	$\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y)$
$i\sigma_z \otimes I_2$	$\sigma_z \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x$	$\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes I_2$
$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_x)$	$I_2 \otimes \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_y$	$\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes I_2$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y$	$i\sigma_y \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (i\sigma_y)$
$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes I_2$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x$	$\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes I_2$

Table 5: $\Theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ embedding of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$

Basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$	Basis of $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$	Basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$	Basis of $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$	Basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$	Basis of $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$
$i\sigma_x \otimes I_2$	$2(e_1e_5^T - e_5e_1^T)$	$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_z)$	$2(e_6e_3^T - e_3e_6^T)$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y$	$2(e_4e_3^T - e_3e_4^T)$
$i\sigma_y \otimes I_2$	$2(e_4e_1^T - e_1e_4^T)$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$	$2(e_2e_1^T - e_1e_2^T)$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z$	$2(e_4e_2^T - e_2e_4^T)$
$i\sigma_z \otimes I_2$	$2(e_4e_5^T - e_5e_4^T)$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x$	$2(e_6e_1^T - e_1e_6^T)$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x$	$2(e_5e_6^T - e_6e_5^T)$
$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_x)$	$2(e_3e_2^T - e_2e_3^T)$	$i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_y$	$2(e_3e_1^T - e_1e_3^T)$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y$	$2(e_3e_5^T - e_5e_3^T)$
$I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)$	$2(e_2e_6^T - e_6e_2^T)$	$i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x$	$2(e_4e_6^T - e_6e_4^T)$	$i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z$	$2(e_2e_5^T - e_5e_2^T)$

Table 6: Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$

II) For each element X of a basis for $\mathfrak{su}(4)$, it suffices to check $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)Y_i - Y_i\theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ is a real linear combination of the Y_i's.

Verification of item II) is carried out in Theorem 5.4 below, since it will be needed at other points as well. It is also interesting to note that the archtypal element in the Lie algebra $u(4)$, but not in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$, viz., iI_4, violates the linearization of the third condition for S$pin(6)$ in a rather strong way. In other words, denoting by V, the matrix $I_4 \otimes (i\sigma_y) = \Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(iI_4)$, one finds that $VY_i - Y_iV$ is not a 1-vector for any Y_i. We will just demonstrate this for Y_1. Computing $VY_1 - Y_1V$, we find that it equals

$$(I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y)(\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z) - (\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z)(I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) = 2\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_x$$

If we denote the end product of this computation by Λ_1, then Λ_1 is, in fact, orthogonal to every 1-vector, with respect to the trace inner product on $M(8,\ \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{C}l(0,\ 6)$. This is because a quick calculation of the matrices $\Lambda_1^T Y_i$ reveals that each of them is a threefold Kronecker product, in which at least one factor is a multiple of one of the Pauli matrices $\sigma_i, i = x, y, z$. Since the Pauli matrices are traceless, it follows that each $\Lambda_1^T Y_i$ is traceless. Similar calculations show that $VY_i - Y_iV$ is not a 1-vector for $i \geq 2$ also.

On the other hand, the calculations below confirm that if $V = \theta_{\mathbb{C}}(W), W \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$, then $VY_i - Y_iV$ is a 1-vector, $\forall i = 1, \ldots, 6$.

Computation of the Lie Algebra Isomorphism Between $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$:

To achieve the said computation we first need to identify the elements of $M(8,\ \mathbb{R})$ which arise as $\Theta_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$, as X runs over a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$. The basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ we will work with is the basis consisting of Kronecker products of the Pauli matrices (including $\sigma_0 = I_2$). We then obtain Table 5.

We can now state:

Theorem 5.4 *The Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi_6 : \mathfrak{su}(4) \to \mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ is prescribed by its effect on the basis $\{i\sigma_j \otimes I_2,\ I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_k),\ i\sigma_p \otimes \sigma_q\}$, $j,\ k,\ p,\ q \in \{x,\ y,\ z\}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ via Table 6.*

Proof: Let us label each of the matrices displayed in the II column of Table 5 as $A_k, k = 1, \ldots, 15$. (for instance, $A_2 = i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2$). For each such A_k, we compute $A_kY_i - Y_iA_k$, where $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_6\}$ is the basis of 1-vectors of $\mathrm{C}l(0,\ 6)$ and express the result as a linear combination of the Y_l, $l = 1, \ldots, 6$. The resulting matrix is the image of $\Psi_6(X)$, where X is an element of the basis of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ listed in the I column of Table 5. This is a long calculation. We will just record the details for A_2 for illustration. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_2Y_1 - Y_1A_2 &= (i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2)(\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y \otimes (-\sigma_z) \\ &\quad -(\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y \otimes (-\sigma_z)(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2) \\ &= -2\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z = 2Y_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2Y_2 - Y_2A_2 &= (i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2)(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x) \\ &\quad -(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2Y_5 - Y_5A_2 &= i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2)(-I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_x) \\ &\quad -(\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y \otimes (-\sigma_z)(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2) \\ &= 2\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z = -2Y_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2Y_5 - Y_5A_2 &= i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2)(-I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_x) \\ &\quad -(-I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2Y_6 - Y_6A_2 &= i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2)(-i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) \\ &\quad -(-i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Psi_6(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2) = 2(e_4e_1^T - e_1e_4^T)$

Computing Exponentials in $\mathfrak{so}\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ *via those in* $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$

We finish this section with an example which illustrates the utility of passing to $su\,(4)$ for calculating exponentials in $\mathfrak{so}\,(6,\ \mathbb{R})$.

Example 5.5 Consider the matrix $X = \beta(e_4e_6^T - e_6e_4^T) + \delta(e_6e_1^T - e_1e_6^T)$, for some $\beta,\ \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us call the two summands $X_1,\ X_2$.

The summands X_1 and X_2 do not anticommute or commute, as can be easily verified. While the individual exponentials of X_1 and X_2 are easily found (both have cubic minimal polynomials), their sum, without availing of the isomorphism with $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$, presents a greater challenge. In fact, X has a quintic minimal polynomial as a brute force calculation, which we eschew, shows. On the other hand, $\Psi_6^{-1}(X)$ has a quadratic minimal polynomial!

Computing $W = \Psi_6^{-1}(X) \in \mathfrak{su}\,(4)$, we find that it is $\frac{i\beta}{2}\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x + \frac{i(\gamma-\alpha)}{2}\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x = Z_1 + Z_2$. In keeping with the fact that Ψ_6 is a Lie algebra isomorphism, we see that $[Z_1, Z_2] \neq 0$. However, $Z_1Z_2 = -Z_2Z_1$. Thus, W's minimal poynomial is quadratic and one finds

$$e^W = cI_4 + (\frac{s}{\lambda})[\frac{i\beta}{2}\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x + \frac{i(\gamma-\alpha)}{2}\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x]$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta^2 + (\gamma-\alpha)^2}$, and $c = \cos(\lambda)$, $s = \sin(\lambda)$. We next find $\Lambda = \theta_{\mathbb{C}}(e^W)$. It is given by

$$\Lambda = cI_8 + \frac{s}{\lambda}[\frac{\beta}{2}(\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) + \frac{(\gamma-\alpha)}{2}(\sigma_z \otimes \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y)]$$

Hence

$$\Lambda^T = cI_8 - \frac{s}{\lambda}[\frac{\beta}{2}(\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y) + \frac{(\gamma-\alpha)}{2}(\sigma_z \otimes \otimes \sigma_x \otimes i\sigma_y)]$$

To find e^X, we compute $\Lambda Y_i \Lambda^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. Suppose $\Lambda Y_j \Lambda^T = \sum_{i=1}^6 c_{ij}Y_i$, then $e^X = (c_{ij})$. To that end, we need the following:

- $\Lambda Y_1 \Lambda^T = \Lambda(\sigma_x \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes (-\sigma_z))\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$[c^2 - \frac{s^2}{4\lambda^2}((\gamma-\alpha)^2 - \beta^2)]Y_1 + \frac{2s^2\beta(\gamma-\alpha)}{4\lambda^2}Y_4 + \frac{cs(\gamma-\alpha)}{\lambda}Y_6$$

- $\Lambda Y_2 \Lambda^T = \Lambda(i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x)\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$\frac{\left(\beta^2 + (\gamma-\alpha)^2\right)c^2 + s^2\beta^2 + s^2(\gamma-\alpha)^2}{\beta^2 + (\gamma-\alpha)^2}Y_3 = Y_2$$

- $\Lambda Y_3\Lambda^T = \Lambda(i\sigma_y \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_z)\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$(c^2 + \frac{s^2[\beta^2 + (\gamma-\alpha)^2]}{4\lambda^2}Y_3 = Y_3$$

- $\Lambda Y_4\Lambda^T = \Lambda(-\sigma_z \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z)\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$\frac{2s^2\beta(\gamma-\alpha)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2}Y_1 + \frac{(\gamma-\alpha)^2+\beta^2(c^2-s^2)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2}Y_4 - \frac{2cs\beta}{2\lambda}Y_6$$

- $\Lambda Y_5\Lambda^T = \Lambda(-I_2 \otimes (i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_x)\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$\frac{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2(c^2+s^2)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2}Y_5 = Y_5$$

- $\Lambda Y_6\Lambda^T = \Lambda((-i\sigma_y) \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)\Lambda^T$ is given by

$$-\frac{2cs(\gamma-\alpha)}{2\lambda}Y_1 + \frac{cs\beta}{\lambda}Y_4 + (c^2-s^2)Y_6$$

Hence,

$$\exp(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2(c^2-s^2)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2s^2\beta(\gamma-\alpha)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2} & 0 & -\frac{cs(\gamma-\alpha)}{\lambda} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2s^2\beta(\gamma-\alpha)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{(\gamma-\alpha)^2+\beta^2(c^2-s^2)}{\beta^2+(\gamma-\alpha)^2} & 0 & \frac{cs\beta}{\lambda} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{cs(\gamma-\alpha)}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{cs\beta}{\lambda} & 0 & c^2-s^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

6 Minimal Polynomials of Matrices in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$

In this section the minimal polynomials of matrices $X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$, is characterized completely. Thus, the problem of exponentiation in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ and hence in $\mathfrak{so}(6,\ \mathbb{R})$ admits solutions which are constructive. The characterization of the minimal polynomials will involve verifiable conditions on the $E_k(X)$, $k = 2,\ 3,\ 4$. Recall $E_k(X)$ is the sum of all $k \times k$ principal minors of X and these are easy to compute.

The initial observation, which follows from arguments similar to those in Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, is that the minimal polynomial, m_X, of $X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$, has the following property:

A) If the degree of m_X is even, then the coefficients of all the even powers of x in it are real, while those of the odd powers are purely imaginary.

B) If the degree of m_X is odd, then the coefficients of all the odd powers of x in it are real, while those of the even powers are purely imaginary.

This observation can be honed into the following result:

Theorem 6.1 *Let X be a non-zero matrix in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$. Then the structure of the minimal polynomials of X is given by*

1. *X has the minimal polynomial $x^2+\lambda^2$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ non-zero, iff $E_3 = 0$, $E_2 \neq 0$ and $E_4 = \frac{1}{4}(E_2)^2$.*
2. *X has the minimal polynomial $x^2+i\gamma x+\lambda^2$, with $\gamma, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ both non-zero iff $E_2 > 0$, $E_4 = -\frac{1}{12}(E_2)^2$, $E_3 = 8i(\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{6}})^3$.*

3. *X has the minimal polynomial $x^3 + \theta^2 x$, with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ non-zero, iff $E_3 = 0 = E_4$ and $E_2 > 0$.*

4. *X has minimal polynomial $x^3 + i\gamma x^2 + \theta^2 x$, with $\gamma, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ both non-zero iff $E_2 > 0$ and E_3 is either $+2i(\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}})^3$ or $-2i(\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}})^3$.*

5. *X has minimal polynomial $x^3 + i\gamma x^2 + \theta^2 x + i\delta$, with γ, θ, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, all non-zero iff $E_4 \neq 0$, and*

$$16E_2^4E_4 - 4E_2^3E_3^2 - 128E_2^2E_4^2 + 144E_2E_3^2E_4 - 27E_3^4 + 256E_4^3 = 0 \tag{2}$$

   and at least one of the conditions in each of items 1) and 2) above is violated.

6. *The minimal polynomial of X is its characterisitic polynomial iff the condition in Equation 2 is violated.*

Furthermore, in each of these cases the coefficients of the minimal polynomial can be determined constructively from the E_k.

Proof: First, since X is skew-Hermitian, so is every principal submatrix of X. Since the determinant of an even sized (resp. odd sized) skew-Hermitian matrix is real (resp. purely imaginary) it follows that $E_2, E_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $iE_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, since X is diagonalizable its minimal polynomial has distinct roots. In view of $E_1 = 0$ and $X \neq 0$, the following are the root configurations of the characteristic polynomial, $p_X(x)$, which lead to its minimal polynomial, $m_X(x)$, being of strictly lower degree than 4:

Case 1) The two distinct roots of p_X are ia and $-ia$, each with multiplicity 2, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ non-zero. In this case $m_X = x^2 + a^2$.

Case 2) The two distinct roots of p_X are ia and ib with $i)$ a, b non-zero real; and $ii)$ the former repeated thrice and the latter once. In this case, necessarily $b = -3a$. In this case $m_X = x^2 + 2iax + 3a^2$.

Case 3) The three distinct roots of p_X are 0 (repeated twice) and ia and $-ia$ of multiplicity one each (with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ non-zero). In this case $m_X = x^3 + a^2x$.

Case 4) The three distinct roots of p_X are ia, ib and 0, with first repeated twice and the latter two of multiplicity one each. Once again $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are non-zero. In this case, necessarily $b = -2a$ and $m_X = x^3 + iax^2 + 2a^2x$.

Case 5) The three distinct roots of p_X are ia, ib and ic, with $i)$ $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $abc \neq 0$; and $ii)$ the multiplicity of ia is two, while that of the other roots is one each. In this case necessarily, $b + c = -2a$. Furthermore,

$$m_X = (x - ia)(x - ib)(x - ic) = x^3 - i(a + b + c)x^2 + (-a^2 - ab - ac)x + iabc$$

Since, $b + c = -2a$, this simplifies, for the moment, to

$$m_x = x^3 + iax^2 + a^2x + iabc$$

Case 6) All roots of $p_X(x)$ are distinct. In this case the minimal polynomial is p_X.

To now characterize these root configurations, without having to find the roots, we note that $E_k = S_k$, $\forall k$, where S_k is, of course, the kth elementary symmetric polynomial of the roots of the characteristic polynomial. So we have

Case 1) In this case $E_2 = -a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 - a^2 = 2a^2$. Similarly $E_3 = 0$ and $E_4 = a^4$. So for X to have the minimal polynomial $x^2 + \lambda^2$, it is necessary that $E_3 = 0$, $E_2 > 0$ and $E_4 = \frac{1}{4}(E_2)^2$. Furthermore, $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{E_2}{2}}$.

The converse is also true. If these conditions on the E_k hold,

$$p_X = x^4 + E_2x^2 + E_4 = x^4 + E_2x^2 + (\frac{E_2}{2})^2$$

Quite clearly this is a quadratic in x^2, leading to the eigenvalues being of the form ia and $-ia$, each repeated twice, with a the positive square root of $\frac{E_2}{2}$, which, of course leads to $m_X = x^2 + \frac{E_2}{2}$.

Case 2) In this case $E_2 = 6a^2$, while $E_3 = 8ia^3$ and finally, $E_4 = -3a^4$. From this it follows that a necessary condition for X to have the minimal polynomial

$$m_X(x) = x^2 + i\gamma x + c^2$$

is that $E_2 > 0$, $E_3 = 8i[\frac{E_2}{6}]^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $E_4 = -\frac{1}{12}(E_2)^2$.

The converse also holds. Indeed, in this case, p_X has a triple root. Hence $p_X^{'}$ has a double root and this double root is one of the roots of $p_X^{''}$. Now

$$p_X^{''} = 12x^2 + 2E_2$$

Its roots are $i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{6}}$ and $-i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{6}}$. Only one of these can be a root of p_X, since neither is -3 times the other and p_X has only one multiple root. We calculate

$$p_X(i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{6}}) = \frac{E_2^2}{36} - \frac{E_2^2}{6} + \frac{8E_2^2}{36} - \frac{E_2^2}{12} = 0$$

Here we have made use of the necessary conditions $E_2 > 0$, $E_3 = 8i(\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{6}})^3$ and $E_4 = -\frac{1}{12}(E_2)^2$.

Thus, sufficiency has also been verified. Finally, note that the coefficients of the minimal polynomial satisfy $\gamma = 2a, c^2 = 3a^2$. Both can be obtained **without** finding a. Clearly, $c^2 = \frac{E_2}{2}$ and to find γ we look at the sign of the purely imaginary number E_3. Its sign coincides with the sign of γ, and the actual value of γ is then found from, say, just E_2.

Case 3) In this case, we find $E_2 = a^2$ and that $E_3 = 0 = E_4$. So the stated conditions are obviously necessary. They are also sufficient, since under these conditions the characteristic polynomial is

$$p_X(x) = x^4 + E_2x^2 = x^2(x^2 + E_2)$$

Since $E_2 > 0$, its roots are obviously 0 (repeated twice) and $i\sqrt{E_2}$ and $-i\sqrt{E_2}$.

Finally, the minimal polynomial, in this case, is $m_X = x^3 + c^2x$, and c^2 is evidently uniquely determined as $c^2 = E_2$.

Case 4) In this case $E_2 = 3a^2$, $E_3 = 2ia^3$, $E_4 = 0$. So necessarily $E_2 > 0$ and E_3 is plus or minus $2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $E_4 = 0$.

To verify the converse note that, if the stated conditions on E_2, E_3, E_4 hold then

$$p_X(x) = x^4 + E_2x^2 - 2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}}x = x(x^3 + E_2x - 2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

So 0 is a single root and the remaining roots of p_X are the roots of

$$q(x) = x^3 + E_2x - 2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

To show that $q(x)$, and thus p_X, has a double root we compute

$$q^{'}(x) = 3x^2 + E_2$$

Its roots are $x = i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}}$ and $x = -i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}}$. We check if one of these roots is a root of p_X. We find, if $E_3 = 2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}}$, then

$$p(i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}}) = 0$$

If $E_3 = -2i(\frac{E_2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}}$, then

$$p(-i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}}) = 0$$

So indeed the stated conditions are sufficient as well.

Finally, to determine the coefficients of $m_X(x) = x^3 + i\gamma x^2 + \theta^2 x$, we note that since m_X is also $x^3 + iax^2 + 2a^2x$, we must have $\theta^2 = 2a^2 = \frac{2}{3}E_2$, and that γ is plus or minus $i\sqrt{\frac{E_2}{3}}$, depending on the sign of the non-zero purely imaginary number E_3.

Case 5) X has a minimal polynomial, which is of lower degree than 4, iff p_X has a repeated root. Now p_X has a repeated root iff it and its derivative have a common root. The latter condition obtains iff the resultant of p_X and $p_X^{'}$ vanish. This condition is precisely the validity of Equation (2). The remaining conditions ensure that this repeated root configuration is not one of the preceding cases, and thus has to correspond to the root configuration $\{ia, ia, ib, ic\}$, with $abc \neq 0$.

To determine the coefficients of m_X, we first note that, since $c = -(b + 2a)$ that

$$m_X = x^3 + (ia)x^2 + (2a^2 - bc)x + iabc$$

Let us write this

$$m_X = x^3 + c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3$$

Now, $E_2 = -a^2 - 2a(b + c) - bc = 3a^2 - bc$. Thus, $c_2 = E_2 - a^2$. Similarly, $c_3 = i\frac{E_4}{a}$. Hence,

$$m_X = x^3 + (ia)x^2 + (E_2 - a)x + i\frac{E_4}{a}$$

So to fully find m_X we need a. There are two ways to proceed, the second of which is relegated to Remark 6.2 below. The first method proceeds as follows. Note first that

$$E_3 = i(2a^3 - 2abc)$$

Since $E_2 = 3a^2 - bc$, we find

$$E_3 = i[-4a^3 + (2a)E_2]$$

Equivalently, $iE_3 = 4a^3 - (2a)E_2$. Hence, a is a root of the cubic

$$c(x) = 4X^3 - (2E_2)x - iE_3 = 0 \tag{3}$$

Since E_2 and iE_3 are real, this cubic has at least one real root. If this cubic has only one real root then, that real root gives a and we are done. If it has three real roots, say α, β, γ, then by construction precisely one of $\{i\alpha, i\beta, i\gamma\}$ is a double root of p_X. So we evaluate p_X and $p_X^{'}$ at these points and see at which of these both vanish. That gives a and hence m_X.$\diamondsuit$

Remark 6.2 A second method to determine the coefficients of the minimal polynomial, $m_X(x)$, in Case 5 of the previous theorem, is now discussed. This method requires only the solution of a quadratic equation and works with E_4 and $\|X\|_F^2$. Begin by observing that, since X is a normal matrix it follows that

$$\|X\|_F^2 = |ia|^2 + |ia|^2 + |ib|^2 + |ic|^2 = 2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$$

Now using *i)* $2a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 2a^2 + (b+c)^2 - 2bc$ and *ii)* $b + c = -2a$, we find that

$$\|X\|_F^2 = 6a^2 - \frac{2a^2 bc}{a^2} = 6a^2 - \frac{2E_4}{a^2}$$

Hence a^2 is a solution of the quadratic

$$6x^2 - \|X\|_F^2\, x - 2E_4 = 0$$

By construction, this quadratic has at least one positive real solution (and, thus, in fact, both solutions must be real). Thus, this gives upto four choices of a. The correct one is that value which yields $iE_3 = 4a^3 - 2aE_2$.

Remark 6.3 e^X can be found for any $X \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$ satisfying the first 3 cases of Theorem 6.1 by using the formulae presented in Theorem 2.11. For cases 4) and 5) of Theorem 6.1 one can use Lagrange interpolation, i.e., e^X is that polynomial in X which takes on the value e^{ir} at a root $ir, r \in \mathbb{R}$ of the corresponding minimal polynomial. Note that the proof of Theorem 6.1 supplies, as a byproduct, recipes to find the roots of the minimal polynomial in cases 4) and 5). For case 6), if $E_3 = 0$, then one can invoke case *IV*) of Theorem 2.11. Similarly, in Case 6) if $E_4 = \det(X) = 0$, then one can easily find the roots of the characteristic polynomial. They are given by 0, $i\alpha$, $i\beta$, $-i(\alpha+\beta)$, with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha \neq -\beta$. These can be found by solving a cubic. Finally, in Case 6), if neither E_3 nor E_4 is zero, then one has to solve a quartic to find the eigenvalues, which, albeit, complicated, can be found in closed form. One can then use Lagrange interpolation to find e^X. At any rate, as mentioned before, in the cases not susceptible to the formulae in Theorem 2.11, it is of utility to first investigate whether X can be expressed as a sum of commuting summands, each of which has a lower degree minimal polynomial. This is the case, for instance, if either X is purely imaginary or purely real, [18].

7 S*pin* (5) Reconsidered

Section 3 started with a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (3, 0) (namely the Pauli basis) and applied the natural constructions in Sec 2.3 to arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (0, 5). The ability to produce a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (0, 6), starting from Cl (0, 0), which lead to to $\tilde{J}_8$ playing a role in reversion, naturally raises the question whether following that set of iterative constructions could lead to something similar for Cl (0, 5). We show below that this is the case and more importantly that a slight variation of this construction reveals a role in reversion for yet another matrix in the $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ basis for $M(4, \mathbb{R})$, viz., the matrix $M_{j\otimes 1}$! In the process, a natural interpretation of the matrix $X(z_0, z_1, z_2)$ of Remark 1.3 is also found.

Let us first show how $\widetilde{J}_4$ arises. We start with Cl (0, 1) and apply the construction **IC1** of Sec 2.3 twice to arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (2, 3). Next we use **IC3** of Sec 2.3 to arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (4, 1), and then finally use **IC2** of Sec 2.3 to arrive at a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (0, 5).

We begin with $\{i\}$ as the obvious basis of 1-vectors for Cl (0, 1). This gives $\{\sigma_x, i\sigma_y, i\sigma_z\}$ as a basis for Cl (1, 2). This then yields the following five matrices as a basis of 1-vectors for Cl (2, 3):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_x \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_y \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_z \end{pmatrix};\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Written more succintly this last basis is

$$\{\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x,\ \sigma_x \otimes I_2,\ \sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y,\ \sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_z,\ i\sigma_y \otimes I_2\}$$

We now find the basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$ by applying **IC3**. This yields the following basis

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \tilde{e}_1 & = & \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x & = & \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \\ \tilde{e}_2 & = & (\sigma_x \otimes I_2)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & = & -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \\ \tilde{e}_3 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & = & I_2 \otimes \sigma_z \\ \tilde{e}_4 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_z)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & = & I_2 \otimes (-\sigma_z) \\ \tilde{e}_5 & = & (i\sigma_y \otimes I_2)(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x) & = & -\sigma_x \otimes -\sigma_x \end{array}$$

Relabelling this last basis to be consistent with signature to obtain the basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$ yields

$$\{h_1 = \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x,\ h_2 = I_2 \otimes \sigma_z,\ h_3 = I_2 \otimes -\sigma_y,\ h_4 = -\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x,\ h_5 = -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x\}$$

Finally applying **IC2** to this last basis gives a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$. To that end we first find

$$h_1h_2h_3h_4 = -i\sigma_y \otimes i\sigma_x$$

This then yields the desired basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} f_1 & = & h_1(-i\sigma_y \otimes i\sigma_x) & = & -\sigma_x \otimes (iI_2) \\ f_2 & = & h_2(-i\sigma_y \otimes i\sigma_x) & = & i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \\ f_3 & = & h_3(-i\sigma_y \otimes i\sigma_x) & = & i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \\ f_4 & = & h_4(-i\sigma_y \otimes i\sigma_x) & = & -\sigma_z \otimes (iI_2) \\ f_5 & = & h_5 & = & -i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \end{array}$$

Evidently, we may replace those f_i's with a negative sign by their negatives without losing any virtues. Let us relabel this basis as $\{g_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, 5\}$.

Proposition 7.1 *With respect to the basis of* 1*-vectors for* $Cl\,(0,\ 5)$ *given by the matrices:*

$$\{g_1 = \sigma_x \otimes I_2,\ g_2 = i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y,\ g_3 = i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z,\ g_4 = i\sigma_z \otimes I_2,\ g_5 = i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x\}$$

reversion on $Cl\,(0,\ 5) = M\,(4,\ \mathbb{C})$ *is described by*

$$\Phi^{rev}(X) = \widetilde{J}_4^{-1} X^T \widetilde{J}_4$$

Proof: It suffices to verfiy that $\widetilde{J}_4^{-1} g_i^T \widetilde{J}_4 = g_i,\ \forall i = 1, \ldots, 5$ We verify this only for g_1 by way of illustration

$$\tilde{J}_4^{-1} g_1^T \tilde{J}_4 = (I_2 \otimes -i\sigma_y)(\sigma_x \otimes iI_2)(I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y) = \sigma_x \otimes iI_2 = g_1$$

$\diamondsuit$

Remark 7.2 A quick calculation shows that $J_4^{-1} g_1^T J_4$ is actually $-g_1$. Thus, reversion, for this basis cannot involve J_4.

Remark 7.3 Let us examine what a typical 1-vector looks like, with respect to the basis $\{g_k\}$ in Proposition 7.1. It is given by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} id & 0 & c+ia & e-bi \\ 0 & id & e+ib & -c+ia \\ -c+ia & -e+ib & -id & 0 \\ -e-ib & c+ia & 0 & -id \end{pmatrix}$$

(with $a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e \in \mathbb{R}$) But this matrix is precisely $X\,(z_0,\ z_i,\ z_2)$ described in Remark 1.3, with $z_0 = c + ia, z_1 = e + ib, z_2 = id$. This gives a different motivation for this matrix in [16]. Notice that z_2 being allowed to be possibly not purely imaginary is precisely the obstruction to $X\,(z_0,\ z_i,\ z_2)$ to being anti-Hermitian.It should be pointed out that the basis $\{g_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, 5\}$ of Proposition 7.1 is not present in [16], since for identification of $\mathrm{S}pin\,(5)$, [16] works in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 4)$.

We now discuss a slight variation on this construction. Everything remains verbatim upto the basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(2,\ 3)$. However, for the production of a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$ we proceed alternatively in the following manner:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \hat{e}_1 & = & \sigma_x \otimes I_2 & = \quad \sigma_x \otimes I_2 \\ \hat{e}_2 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)(\sigma_x \otimes I_2) & = \quad i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \\ \hat{e}_3 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_y)(\sigma_x \otimes I_2) & = \quad -\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \\ \hat{e}_4 & = & (\sigma_z \otimes i\sigma_z)(\sigma_x \otimes I_2) & = \quad -\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \\ \hat{e}_5 & = & (i\sigma_y \otimes I_2)(\sigma_x \otimes I_2) & = \quad \sigma_z \otimes I_2 \end{array}$$

In other words, we have interchanged the roles of $\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x$ and $\sigma_x \otimes I_2$ - the two 1-vectors in $\mathrm{C}l\,(2,\ 3)$ which square to $+1$, cf., Remark 2.2.

Once again relabelling this basis to reflect signature, yields a basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(4,\ 1)$ in the form

$$\hat{h}_1 = \sigma_x \otimes I_2,\ \hat{h}_2 = -\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y,\ \hat{h}_3 = -\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z,\ \hat{h}_4 = \sigma_z \otimes I_2,\ \hat{h}_5 = i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x$$

Now applying **IC2** of Sec 2.3, as before, we first calculate

$$\hat{h}_1\hat{h}_2\hat{h}_3\hat{h}_4 = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x$$

This then yields yet another basis of 1-vectors for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ given by

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \hat{f}_1 & = & i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x \\ \hat{f}_2 & = & I_2 \otimes i\sigma_z \\ \hat{f}_3 & = & -I_2 \otimes i\sigma_y \\ \hat{f}_4 & = & -i\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \\ \hat{f}_5 & = & i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \end{array}$$

We now ask what is the explicit form of reversion on $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ for this basis of 1-vectors. Once again the $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ basis for $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$ comes to our aid to provide the following

Theorem 7.4 *Let $\breve{J}_4 = M_{j\otimes 1}$. Then reversion on $Cl\,(0,\ 5)$, with respect to the basis $\{\hat{f}_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, 5\}$ of 1-vectors, obtained above is*

$$\Phi_{rev}(X) = \breve{J}_4^{-1} X^T \breve{J}_4$$

Proof The explicit form of $\breve{J}_4$ is

$$\breve{J}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is more useful to write it as

$$\breve{J}_4 = i\sigma_y \otimes -\sigma_z$$

With this at hand it suffices, as usual, to confirm that

$$\breve{J}_4^{-1} \hat{f}_i^T \breve{J}_4 = \hat{f}_i,\ \forall i = 1, \ldots, 5$$

We will content ourselves by displaying the calculations for $\hat{f}_1$. Since $(i\sigma_y)^{-1} = -i\sigma_y$ and $(-\sigma_z)^{-1} = -\sigma_z$ we obtain

$$\breve{J}_4^{-1} \hat{f}_1^T \breve{J}_4 = (i\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z)(i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x)(i\sigma_y \otimes -\sigma_z) = i\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x = \hat{f}_1$$

$\diamondsuit$.

8 Conclusions

In this note we have derived explicit matrix realizations of the reversion automorphism for $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ and $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$, with respect to bases of 1-vectors which are natural from the point of view of the standard iterative procedures, described in Section 2.3. This also leads to a first principles approach to the spin groups in these dimensions, in the sense that they are obtained by working entirely in $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 5)$ and $\mathrm{C}l\,(0,\ 6)$ respectively. These constructions are then used to find closed form expressions for the exponentials of real antisymmetric matrices of size 5×5 and 6×6. This is facilitated by the derivation of explicit expressions for the minimal polynomials of matrices in the Lie algebras of the corresponding spin groups. These expressions do not require any spectral knowledge of the matrices in question. Two important byproducts of this note are that it provides further evidence for the importance of the isomorphism between $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ and $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$, and what hopefully is a didactically appealing derivation of the spin groups for $n=5,\,6$.

There some questions whose study this work naturally suggests. We mention two here:

- It would be useful to obtain expressions for minimal polynomials of matrices in $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ directly from their $\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ representations, analogous to the formulae in [19]. Specifically, if one writes an $X\in\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ as $Y+iZ$ with Y,Z real matrices, then $Y^T=-Y$ and $Z^T=Z$. This is significant because any such work will also yield formulae for minimal polynomials of the real matrix $Y+Z$. Since such a matrix is the most general traceless real 4×4 matrix, the benefits are obvious. In Section 6, while no knowledge of eigenvalues or eigenvectors was needed, the diagonalizability of matrices in $\mathfrak{su}\,(4)$ was heavily used. On the other hand, the methods in [19] never used any such information. Since there are many important non-diagonalizable matrices in $M\,(4,\ \mathbb{R})$, this would be of high utility.

- It is important to be able to invert the covering maps Φ_5 and Φ_6. One application of this would be the ability to deduce factorizations of matrices in $SO\,(n,\ \mathbb{R})$, for $n=5,\,6$, from those for matrices in their spin groups. The inversion of these maps requires solving a system polynomial equations in several variables which are essentially quadratic. For a satisfactory solution to this problem, a first step would be useful parametrizations or representations of elements in their spin groups. A first attempt at this is provided in the appendix for $Sp\,(4)$. This representation may be of independent interest.

9 Appendix - A Representation of $Sp\,(4)$

In this section we discuss a representation of an element of $Sp\,(4)$, which is partially motivated by the question of inverting the covering map of $SO\,(5,\ \mathbb{R})$, and may be of independent interest. The reason for choosing $Sp\,(4)$ rather than its variants ($\widehat{Sp}\,(4)$, for instance) is that just as those variants were more amenable for certain purposes [such as computing determinants- see Remark 4.7], the block structure of $Sp\,(4)$ is easier to describe matrix theoretically.

Loosely speaking the main observation is that every element of $Sp\,(4)$ is a $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A}\end{pmatrix}$ in which A is a contraction, and B is essentially determined by a square root of $I-A^*A$, which generically differs from defect of A by a diagonal factor. The defect of A is defined to be the unique positive square root of $I-A^*A$.

The representation provided is not quite a parametrization since it requires 12 parameters and not 10, as the dimension of $Sp\,(4)$ would suggest. This is primarily due to the invocation of the singular value decomposition of A. Nevertheless we believe it is computationally tractable.

Consider, therefore, $X\in Sp\,(4)$. It equals $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(Y)$ for some unitary element $Y\in M\,(2,\ \mathbb{H})$. Writing $Y=A+Bj$, with $A,\,B\in M\,(2,\ \mathbb{C})$, Y's unitarity is equivalent to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^*A+(\bar{B})^*(\bar{B}) &= I_2 \\ A^*B &= B^T\bar{A}\end{aligned}$$

The second condition is, of course, the same as saying that the matrix A^*B is symmetric.

The first condition says that the matrix A is a contraction and that the matrix $\bar{B}$ is one possible square root of the positive semidefinite matrix $I_2 - A^*A$ (recall that a matrix $Q \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a square root of a positive semidefinite matrix P if $Q^*Q = P$).

In order to extract more information from this, first observe that A being a contraction is equivalent to its largest singular value being atmost one. Thus,

$$A = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} V^*$$

with U and V unitary, and $0 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \sigma_1 \leq 1$.

This may be rewritten in the form

$$A = e^{i(a-b)} S_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2^*$$

with $S_i \in SU(2)$.

Since $\bar{B}$ is a square root of $I - A^*A$ it must be unitarily related to the unique positive semidefinite square root $(I - A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $I - A^*A$.

But

$$(I - A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = V \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} V^*$$

where $\theta_i = \sqrt{1 - \sigma_i^2}$.

Thus

$$B = e^{-ic} \bar{S_3} \bar{S_2} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2^T$$

for some $S_3 \in SU(2)$ and some real scalar c. Equating A^*B to $B^T\bar{A}$ we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} S_1^* \bar{S_3} \bar{S_2} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2^* S_3^* \bar{S_1} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

The analysis now is naturally divided into several cases:

Case 1) Suppose $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \neq 0, \theta_1\theta_2 \neq 0$ and $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$:

Then, first note $0 < \sigma_2 < \sigma_1 < 1$. Premultiplying both sides of Equation (4) by the inverse of $\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ to find

$$DS_4^T = S_4 D \tag{5}$$

where $S_4 = S_2^* S_3^* \bar{S_1} \in SU(2)$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, with $\gamma_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{\theta_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Since $S_4 \in SU(2)$ we can write it in so-called Cayley-Klein form as

$$S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} ce^{i\lambda} & se^{I\mu} \\ -se^{-i\mu} & ce^{-i\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $c = \cos(\theta)$, $s = \sin(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and λ, $\mu \in [0, 2\pi]$. Equation (5) now forces 2 alternatives: *i)* either $s = 0$ or *ii)* $s \neq 0$ and $e^{i2\mu} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}$. For the case at hand, the former alternative holds, since the latter alternative forces $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ and hence $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. So $s = 0$ and hence S_4 is diagonal $= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$. So $S_3 = S_2 \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\lambda} \end{pmatrix} S_1^T$.

Case 2) $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \neq 0$, $\theta_1\theta_2 \neq 0$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. In this case, as both singular values of A are equal, we have $A = kU$, where $|k| < 1$ and U is 2×2 unitary. Hence $B = \sqrt{1-|k|^2}V$ for some unitary V. We still have to impose the requirement that A^*B is symmetric. To that end, we write $A = e^{ia}S_1$, $V = e^{ib}S_2$ with $S_j \in SU(2)$, written in Cayley-Klein form as

$$S_J = \begin{pmatrix} c_j e^{i\lambda_j} & s_j e^{i\mu_j} \\ -s_j e^{-i\mu_j} & c_j e^{-i\lambda_j} \end{pmatrix},\ j = 1,\ 2$$

with $c_j = \cos(\theta_j)$, $s_j = \sin(\theta_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then A^*B symmetric is equivalent to

$$c_1 s_2 \cos(\mu_2 - \lambda_1) = s_1 c_2 \cos(\lambda_2 - \mu_1)$$

Case 3) $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$. In this case A is unitary and $B = 0$. Of course, A^*B is trivially symmetric.

Case 4) $\sigma_1 = 0$. In this case $A = 0$ and B is any unitary matrix. Once again A^*B is trivially symmetric.

Case 5) $\sigma_2 = 0$, but $\sigma_1 \neq 0$: Now $\theta_2 = 1$, while $\theta_1 \neq 0$. So as $\theta_1\theta_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, the analysis for Case 1 still applies to show that S_4 is diagonal. Hence, $A = e^{i\phi}S_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S_2^*$ and $B = S_1 \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 e^{i(\lambda-c)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(\lambda+c)} \end{pmatrix} S_1^*$.

Case 6) Precisely one of the $\theta_i = 0$: In this case it has to be θ_1, since $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$. This forces $\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2 = 0$. To analyse this case we rewrite Equation (4) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} S_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} S_4^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} S_4$$

Premultiplying and postmultiplying both sides by the inverse of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$ we get $S_4 D = D S_4^T$, where $S_4 = S_1^* \bar{S}_3 \bar{S}_2$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\theta_2}{\sigma_2} \end{pmatrix}$. Once again this forces S_4 to be diagonal. Hence overall $A = e^{i(a-b)}S_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2^*$ and $B = e^{-ic}S_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 e^{-i\lambda} \end{pmatrix} S_1^*$.

Future work will address the inversion of the covering map in dimensions 5 and 6. It is hoped that this characterization of the blocks A and B of an element of $Sp(4)$ leads to a satisfactory solution to the question of inverting the covering map in dimension 5, as well as being useful in other problems in which $Sp(4)$ intervenes.

References

[1] R. Ablamowicz, "Matrix Exponential Via Clifford Algebras" *J. Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, **5**, 294-313, 1998.

[2] Y. Ansari & V. Ramakrishna, " On The Non-compact Portion of $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$ Via Quaternions", *J. Phys A: Math. Theor*, **41**, 335203, 1-12, (2008).

[3] G. Chen, D. Church, B. Englert, C. Henkel, B. Rohnwedder, M. Scully & M. Zubairy, *Quantum Computing Devices: Principles, Design and Analysis*, Chapman & Hall CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2006).

[4] G. S. Chirikjian, *Stochastic Models, Information Theory, and Lie Theory, Vol 1: Classical Results and Geometric Methods*, Birkhauser, Basel, (2009).

[5] T. Constantinescu, V. Ramakrishna, N. Spears, L. R. Hunt, J. Tong, I. Panahi, G. Kannan, D. L. MacFarlane, G. Evans, and M. P. Christensen, "Composition methods for four-port couplers in photonic integrated circuitry", *Journal of Optical Society of America A*, **23**, 2919-2931, (2006).

[6] H. Fassbender, D. Mackey & N. Mackey, Hamilton and Jacobi Come Full Circle: Jacobi Algorithms For Structured Hamiltonian Eigenproblems", *Linear Algebra & its Applications*, **332**, 37- 80, (2001).

[7] D. Hacon, "Jacobi's Method for Skew-Symmetric Matrices", *SIAM J. Matrix Analysis* , **14**, 619 - 628, (1993).

[8] G. N. Hille & P. Lounesto, "Matrix Representations of Clifford Algebras", *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, **128**, 51-63 (1990).

[9] R. A. Horn & C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Aanlysis*, Cambridge University Press (1990).

[10] R. A. Horn & C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press.

[11] C. R. Johnson, T. Laffe & C. K. Li, "Linear Transformations on $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ That Preserve the Ky Fan k-Norm and a Remarkable Special Case When $(n, k) = (4,\ 2)$, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **23**, 285 - 298, (1988).

[12] P. Lounesto, *Clifford Algebras and Spinors*, II edition, Cambridge University Press (2002).

[13] N. Mackey, "Hamilton and Jacobi Meet Again - Quaternions and the Eigenvalue Problem", *Siam J. Matrix Analysis*, **16**, 421 - 435, (1995).

[14] D. Mackey, N. Mackey & S. Dunleavy, " Structure Preserving Algorithms for Perplectic Eigenproblems", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, **13**, 10 - 39, (2005).

[15] C. Moler and C. Van Loan, "Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty - Five Years Later", *SIAM Review*, **45**, No.1, 3 -19 (2003).

[16] I. R. Porteous, *Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups*, Cambridge U Press, (2009).

[17] V. Ramakrishna & F. Costa, "On the Exponential of Some Structured Matrices", *J. Phys. A - Math & General*, **Vol 37**, 11613-11627, 2004.

[18] V. Ramakrishna& H. Zhou, "On the Exponential of Matrices in $\mathfrak{su}(4)$", *J. Phys. A - Math & General*, **39** (2006), 3021-3034.

[19] V. Ramakrishna, Y. Ansari & F. Costa, 'Minimal Polynomials of Some Matrices Via Quaternions", *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, Vol 22, Issue 1, pg 159-183, (2012).

[20] J. M. Selig, *Geometrical Foundations of Robotics*, World Scientific, Singapore, (2000).